STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION



NHPUC SJUL'18PH3:04

June 25, 2018 - 1:36 p.m. Concord, New Hampshire

> RE: DG 18-064 LIBERTY UTILITIES (ENERGYNORTH NATURAL GAS) CORP., D/B/A LIBERTY UTILITIES: 2018 CAST IRON/BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT PROGRAM RESULTS (Hearing on the Merits)

**PRESENT:** Chairman Martin P. Honigberg, Presiding Commissioner Kathryn M. Bailey Commissioner Michael S. Giaimo

Sandy Deno, Clerk

APPEARANCES: Reptg. Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas) Corp. d/b/a Liberty Utilities: Michael J. Sheehan, Esq.

> **Rptg. Office of Consumer Advocate:** Brian D. Buckley, Esq.

Reptg. PUC Staff: Lynn Fabrizio, Esq. Alexander F. Speidel, Esq.

Court Reporter: Susan J. Robidas, NH LCR No. 44

INDEX WITNESS PANEL: DAVID B. SIMEK CATHERINE A. MCNAMARA BRIAN FROST SHAWN D. FUREY EXAMINATION PAGE Direct Examination by Mr. Sheehan Cross-examination by Mr. Buckley Cross-examination by Ms. Fabrizio Cross-examination by Mr. Knepper Cross resumed by Ms. Fabrizio INTERROGATORIES BY COMMISSIONERS: Commissioner Bailey By Commissioner Giaimo By Chairman Honigberg Redirect Examination by Mr. Sheehan WITNESS: DAVID B. SIMEK Direct Examination by Ms. Fabrizio Cross-examination by Mr. Buckley Cross-examination by Mr. Sheehan {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

INTERROGATORIES BY COMMISSIONERS: By Commissioner Bailey By Commissioner Giaimo By Commissioner Honigberg Redirect Examination by Ms. Fabrizio CLOSING STATEMENTS BY PARTIES: Mr. Buckley Ms. Fabrizio Mr. Sheehan EXHIBITS PAGE EXHIBITS 1 THROUGH 3 PREMARKED Revised Testimony of Randall S. Knepper and attachments 

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

4 PROCEEDINGS 1 2 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Good afternoon. We're here in Docket DG 18-064, 3 which is Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth 4 Natural Gas) Corp.'s Cast Iron/Bare Steel 5 Replacement Program Results. We have a 6 7 hearing on the merits. We have people 8 already prepositioned. Before we do anything else, let's take appearances. 9 MR. SHEEHAN: Good afternoon, 10 11 Commissioners. Mike Sheehan for Liberty Utilities (EnergyNorth Natural Gas). 12 MR. BUCKLEY: Good afternoon, Mr. 13 14 Chairman and Commissioners. My name is Brian 15 D. Buckley. I'm a staff attorney with the 16 Office of Consumer Advocate. I'm here 17 representing the interests of residential 18 ratepayers. 19 MS. FABRIZIO: Good afternoon, 20 Commissioners. Lynn Fabrizio on behalf of 21 Commission Staff. With me today are 22 co-counsel, Alexander Speidel; Randall 23 Knepper, Director of Safety and Security in the Safety Division; and Anthony Leone of the 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

Gas and Water Division, utility analyst. 1 2 Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I see 3 witnesses are in place. What do we need to 4 5 do in the way of preliminaries, Mr. Sheehan? 6 MR. SHEEHAN: The Company proposes 7 to mark two exhibits. The first is the initial filing, Docket Book Tab 1, which 8 consists of the Furey/Frost testimony, Bates 9 1 through 44, and the Simek/McNamara 10 11 testimony, Bates 45 through 67; and Exhibit 2, which was a revised filing. 12 Mr. Simek will explain what was revised. 13 And 14 that is just the Simek/McNamara testimony 15 which we have marked as 45R through 67R. So 16 it can be a complete replacement for that portion of Exhibit 1. And there's nothing in 17 this filing that is confidential. 18 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Okay. 19 Any 20 other preliminaries before we have the 21 witnesses sworn in? 22 [No verbal response] 23 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 24 Would you do the honors, please. {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

(WHEREUPON, DAVID B. SIMEK CATHERINE A. 1 MCNAMARA BRIAN FROST SHAWN D. FUREY 2 were duly sworn and cautioned by the 3 Court Reporter.) 4 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan. 5 MR. SHEEHAN: That leads me to my 6 7 first comment. Mr. Furey has managed to lose 8 his voice, so he can whisper. So they have a game plan worked out where we will have him 9 10 speak as little as possible. 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. SHEEHAN: So, Shawn, I will start with you just to get 13 0. 14 it over with. Your name is Shawn Furey; 15 correct. 16 (Furey) Correct. Α. And you work with Liberty Utilities, and your 17 0. function is manager of construction; is that 18 19 correct? 20 (Furey) Correct. Α. 21 And you prepared testimony with Mr. Frost Q. 22 that has been marked as Exhibit 1 in this 23 matter; is that correct? 24 (Furey) Correct. Α.

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1	Q.	Do you have any changes in your testimony?
2	A.	(Furey) No.
3	Q.	And do you adopt your testimony as your sworn
4		testimony here today?
5	А.	(Furey) I do.
6	Q.	Mr. Frost, same questions. Your position
7		with the company is what?
8	А.	(Frost) I'm an engineer.
9	Q.	And did you prepare testimony in this matter
10		as well?
11	Α.	(Frost) Yes.
12	Q.	And you prepared that with Mr. Furey?
13	A.	(Frost) Correct.
14	Q.	And can you give us a real high level the
15		purpose of your testimony was to describe
16		what?
17	A.	(Frost) It was to describe the results of
18		last year's program costs, mileages and
19		drivers in the program.
20	Q.	And do you have any changes to that
21		testimony?
22	A.	(Frost) No.
23	Q.	And do you adopt your written testimony as
24		your live testimony here today?
l	{D	G 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

(Frost) Yes, I do. 1 Α. Ms. McNamara, your position with the company, 2 Q. please? 3 (McNamara) I'm a rates analyst in Rates and 4 Α. Regulatory Affairs. 5 And we marked as Exhibit 1 testimony by you 6 Q. 7 and Mr. Simek, and as Exhibit 2, revised testimony of you and Mr. Simek. Did you 8 assist Mr. Simek and did he assist you in 9 10 preparing that testimony? 11 (McNamara) Yes. Α. And do you have any changes to bring to the 12 Q. 13 Commission's attention this afternoon? 14 (McNamara) No. Α. 15 And if I were to ask you these questions Q. 16 today that are in writing, would your answers 17 be the same? (McNamara) Yes. 18 Α. 19 Q. So today you adopt that testimony as your 20 sworn testimony? 21 Α. (McNamara) Yes. 22 Last, Mr. Simek, your name and position with 0. 23 the company, please? 24 (Simek) David Simek, manager of Rates and Α.

Regulatory Affairs.

2	Q.	And did you participate in the preparation of
3		what's been marked as Exhibits 1 and 2, your
4		and Ms. McNamara's joint testimony?
5	A.	(Simek) Yes.
6	Q.	And do you have any changes to what has been
7		filed?
8	Α.	(Simek) I do not.
9	Q.	Could you explain for us the reasons for the
10		revised testimony of you and Ms. McNamara,
11		which is Exhibit 2?
12	Α.	(Simek) Yes. There were two changes. One
13		related to the ROE that was used in the
14		revenue requirement calculation. The Company
15		had used 9.4 percent, which was included in
16		the settlement agreement from the
17		distribution rate case. And then in the
18		meantime, an order had come out in that case
19		that the ROE had changed to 9.3 percent.
20	Q.	So your filing updated to reflect that ROE.
21	А.	(Simek) Correct. And the other change in the
22		revised filing had to do with Mr. Knepper
23		requesting in some of his illustrative pages
24		to include the degradation fees that weren't
ļ	{D	G 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

included previously.

2	Q.	And the cover letter that we filed along with
3		the revised testimony puts a specific
4		reference to where that entry was as
5		DBS/CAM-2 at Page 1, which is Bates 64R. And
6		it's just a number added to Row 5, Column J;
7		is that correct?

8 A. (Simek) Yes.

1

9 Q. The cover letter also describes the financial impact of the change in ROE. And the cover letter states that the revenue deficiency requested in this case was reduced slightly because of the change in ROE; is that correct?

15 A. (Simek) Yes.

16 Q. And can you give the Commission what the 17 Company's requesting now, the revised filing, 18 and what impact that will have on the average 19 customer's bill?

A. (Simek) Yes. The revenue requirements that
we are requesting is \$762,488. And that has
a customer bill impact for your typical
residential customer; it's \$3.26 annually.
Q. After you filed your revised testimony, the
{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 Company filed a request to formally -- filed a formal request to recover the so-called 2 "carryover costs" that were not included as 3 part of the original filing; is that correct? 4 (Simek) Yes. 5 Α. Those carryover costs are the issue that the 6 ο. 7 Company and Staff have spoken about, and the OCA, over the last few hearings; is that 8 9 correct? 10 (Simek) Yes. Α. 11 And did you do a calculation of what impact 0. that would have on this filing should the 12 13 Commission order the recovery of the 14 carryover costs here? 15 (Simek) Yes. Α. 16 And what would that be? Q. 17 Α. (Simek) The revenue requirements would increase by \$63,763, which would then have a 18 residential customer bill impact of \$3.53 19 20 annually. So it's 27 cents higher than our 21 original revised filing. 22 Thank you. 0. 23 MR. SHEEHAN: That's all I have. 24 Oh, and for the Commission's benefit, I have {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

in front of me copies of the spreadsheet. 1 Ι can hand them up your way if people start 2 asking questions about them. 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Mr. 4 5 Sheehan. Mr. Buckley. 6 7 MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you, Mr. 8 Chairman. Fortunately, Attorney Sheehan already went over my question about 9 10 residential billing impacts, so we'll skip 11 over that one. CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 BY MR. BUCKLEY: 13 14 So, moving to Mr. Furey and Mr. Frost, 0. 15 whoever feels is most able to answer, if I 16 could ask you to turn to Bates Page 10 in 17 Exhibit 1, which is your testimony. Now, I'm looking at Lines 5 through 9, which is a 18 discussion of unit costs. And I think I 19 understand this, but if you could possibly 20 just break it down for me a little further. 21 22 Am I correct in understanding that the 23 Company underestimated direct costs by 21 percent, but the overall variance of the 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

total costs was only 4 percent? 1 (Frost) That is correct. 2 Α. And could you just explain to me a little 3 Q. further what's included in direct versus 4 indirect costs and why those two values are 5 so different? 6 7 (Frost) Direct costs include the direct Α. contractor and material charges to a job; 8 that would be the contractor's bills and 9 exactly how much we were to pay for a piece 10 11 of pipe. Indirect costs or loaded costs refer to the direct costs plus the Company's 12 overhead costs. The overhead costs, as 13 14 explained in the testimony, they include 15 benefits and preliminary design. There is a 16 little bit of an imprecision in calculating loaded costs because it would reflect the 17 total operating costs of the Company at a 18 19 given time. And where do degradation fees fall within the 20 0. 21 category of direct versus indirect? 22 (Frost) Degradation fee is a direct cost. Α. 23 So is it possible that a significant portion Q. of that 21 percent underestimate could be 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 related to degradation fees, amongst other 2 things? (Frost) I would not believe it's related to 3 Α. degradation fees. 4 Can you give me some idea if there is any one 5 Q. category of items that it might be related 6 7 to, the over 21 percent? 8 Α. (Frost) I cannot give that right now. Okay. If I could ask you to turn now to 9 Q. Bates 18, Lines 6 through 7. There's what I 10 11 think is a couple charts here for our understanding some of the costs associated 12 with marketing customer conversions under the 13 14 CIBS program. Can you describe for me what is the chart at Bates 18, Lines 6 through 7? 15 16 (Frost) That's the cost that the Company has Α. incurred in labor and materials to complete 17 marketing on the CIBS program and to send 18 mailers to every resident. 19 It includes 20 mailings to every resident along the CIBS 21 program, manual research to figure out which 22 residents are customers and which residents 23 are not customers of the Company, and then additional mailings to the fraction of 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 households that are not current customers of 2 the Company. So it sounds like the marketing efforts to 3 Q. customers along the CIBS program are 4 slightly -- are more labor and possibly 5 cost-intensive than other marketing efforts 6 7 for a customer --(Frost) That is correct. 8 Α. And so at the bottom of the chart at Bates 9 ο. 10 18, Lines 6 through 7, it provides some 11 dollar values on customer conversion costs. Could you characterize those values for me 12 per customer? 13 (Frost) In fiscal year 2018, we spent \$787 14 Α. for each customer obtained during the CIBS 15 16 marketing efforts. And in the last three years, would it be 17 0. accurate to say that the per-customer 18 acquisition costs are somewhere between 19 20 roughly \$750 and \$1,000? 21 Α. (Frost) That is correct. 22 And then if I could ask you to turn to the 0. 23 chart at Bates 19 of your testimony. Now, am 24 I correct in understanding that this same {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

type of cost is displayed at the bottom of 1 this chart but for the non-CIBS marketing 2 efforts for customer conversions? 3 (Frost) That is correct. It's for marketing 4 Α. in areas where we don't currently have 5 service and are extending mains. 6 7 And can you just explain to me in your 0. testimony, you basically have looked at these 8 two numbers and made a suggestion. What is 9 that suggestion? 10 11 (Frost) Our suggestion is that we would like Α. to continue notifying all customers along 12 CIBS routes; however, we would like to 13 14 investigate possibly using door hangers or 15 just straight mailing to all property owners 16 or residents along the route without the 17 manual, time-intensive process of trying to figure out which residents along the route 18 are our customers and which ones aren't, 19 because of the costs incurred and that we've 20 21 spent a lot less in areas where we can just 22 mail based on number of residents there. 23 I'm curious. Do you use the -- I know the Q. Company has a SIMS program that they use to 24

sometimes identify customers who might be 1 potential conversions. Do you use that for 2 this, for CIBS? Excuse the overlap between 3 the two. If you don't know, that's fine, 4 I'm just curious. 5 too. (Frost) I'm not sure of the SIMS program, the 6 Α. 7 acronym you're using. I don't know that it is an acronym. 8 0. But from what I understand, the Company has leased a 9 software program from ICF that helps to 10 11 identify various potential for conversion in 12 various areas. (Frost) I don't think I can adequately speak. 13 Α. I wasn't involved with that program's 14 15 development and use. 16 But I guess in summary, you are looking at Q. 17 the costs associated with marketing to the customers along CIBS, and specifically those 18 costs associated with the manual data entry 19 20 and identification. And you're saying that, 21 well, maybe if we back off on some of those 22 labor-intensive marketing practices, we'll 23 still get a fair number of conversions, but at a much smaller cost. 24 Is that an accurate

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 assessment? (Frost) Yes, that's the Company's position. 2 Α. MR. BUCKLEY: Okay. Thank you. No 3 further questions. 4 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Let's go off 5 the record for just a second. 6 7 (Discussion off the record) CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fabrizio. 8 MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you, Mr. 9 Chairman. I have a series of questions 10 11 mainly for Messrs. Furey and Frost. Really, these are to kind of close the loops on 12 certain data points to make sure that the 13 record is accurate and that we're all on the 14 15 same page. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MS. FABRIZIO: First of all, were both of you able to review 18 Q. Mr. Knepper's testimony in this docket? 19 20 (Frost) Yes, I was. Α. 21 (Furey) I was, too. Α. 22 And do you have a copy of his testimony 0. 23 before you, by any chance? 24 (Frost) I do not have a copy. Α.

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

19 1 MS. FABRIZIO: Mind if I --2 MR. SHEEHAN: That's fine. BY MS. FABRIZIO: 3 Just wanted to do some sort of back and forth 4 0. between your testimony and his, just to make 5 sure we have the right numbers. 6 7 (Ms. Fabrizio handing document to Witnesses Frost and Furey.) 8 So, Mr. Knepper states in Attachment 3 to his 9 Q. 10 testimony on Bates Page 35 -- and that is a 11 spreadsheet, RSK Attachment 3. The last column on the right, at the bottom of the 12 13 column it indicates, the second to the last 14 line in the last box, actually, seven. Do 15 you see the number seven that's at the bottom 16 of that last column in this spreadsheet? 17 Α. (Frost) Yes, I do. The number seven seems to indicate 18 Okay. Q. that seven field samples of bare steel and 19 20 seven written reports were submitted to the 21 Safety Division for FY2018. Do you see that? 22 (Frost) Yes. Α. 23 Okay. Now I'd like you to look at your Q. 24 testimony for Furey/Frost. On Bates Page 33, {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 last line of that page indicates that only six pipe and soil samples were collected. 2 Do you see that line? 3 (Frost) Yes. 4 Α. And what does that state? 5 Q. (Frost) It states that six pipe samples were 6 Α. 7 collected. And summarized on the following pages. 8 0. Now I'd like you to go to Bates Page 40 9 10 of your testimony. And this page states 11 there was a seventh sample collected; is that 12 correct? (Frost) Yes. 13 Α. 14 So would you agree, then, that the correct Q. 15 number is seven samples rather than six? 16 Α. (Frost) Yes, seven samples. 17 0. Okay. Now, looking at the same written report on Bates Page 40 of your testimony, it 18 19 states that the pipe is from a low-pressure 20 system. Do you see where that is indicated? 21 Α. (Frost) Yes. 22 And would you agree that the Tilton mains are 0. 23 fed from a 60 psi high-pressure system and 24 that that is the only pressure distribution

system in the town of Tilton? 1 (Frost) Yes, I do. 2 Α. So, going back to Mr. Knepper's testimony on 3 Q. Bates Page 35, Attachment 3 shows that the 4 seventh sample, which is again on the far 5 right, in the last big box with an outline 6 7 around it, correctly says 60 psi on Peabody 8 Street. 9 (Frost) Yes. Α. 10 And do you believe that's accurate? 0. 11 (Frost) I do. Α. Okay. So we have those corrections to the 12 Q. 13 understanding of those statements in the 14 testimony. 15 Now, looking at your testimony of all 16 seven samples on Bates Page 34 through Bates Page 40, would it be fair to say that these 17 samples indicate that there is evidence of 18 active corrosion on each of these samples? 19 20 Would that be your understanding of what these pictures depict? 21 22 (Frost) They do depict corrosion. Α. 23 Okay. And would it be fair to say that all Q. 24 seven of the sample pipe pieces have

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

		22
1		experienced active corrosion, based on these
2		photos, and your report as well?
3	Α.	(Frost) I would say I mean, is there a
4		definition of "active corrosion" we'd like to
5		use?
6	Q.	Well, maybe we can get at it by saying what
7		you mean in your report when you spell out
8		the sample condition of each piece.
9	Α.	(Frost) They all indicate varying degrees of
10		corrosion.
11	Q.	Okay. Thank you.
12		Now going back to Mr. Knepper's
13		testimony on Bates Page 35, again the
14		Attachment 3 and his history of the 55 bare
15		steel samples that have been submitted by
16		Liberty Utilities and its predecessors as
17		part of CIBS filings since 2009. They appear
18		to indicate all of the samples in his
19		summary appear to indicate evidence of active
20		corrosion, is that correct, with the possible
21		exception of the two in yellow that are
22		marked as in "good" condition?
23	Α.	(Frost) That would be correct, that they all
24		evidence wall loss due to corrosion.

1 Q. Okay. Thank you. And is it fair to say that any bare 2 steel pipes shown with various levels of 3 pitting, and clearly the ones with holes, 4 have indeed experienced active corrosion? 5 Is that your understanding of the sample 6 7 conditions? (Frost) Yes, they've all experienced wall 8 Α. loss. 9 Thank you. 10 0. Okay. 11 And do you agree that bare steel samples that have been selected through the years 12 13 have been appropriate for all the years listed? 14 15 (Frost) I do. Α. 16 And could you elaborate on that, why you feel Q. 17 they're appropriate? (Frost) Sections of bare steel pipe selected 18 Α. for replacement have prior leak history or 19 20 risk factors due to their operating pressure 21 or location that would indicate replacement 22 is warranted. 23 Okay. Q. Thank you. 24 And is it correct that the average age

1 of the seven samples in fiscal year 2018 appear to be nearly 90 years old? 2 (Frost) That is correct. 3 Α. And the larger group over the entire 55 4 0. samples collected since 2009 have an average 5 age of nearly 85 years? 6 7 (Frost) That is correct. Α. 8 Q. And can we extrapolate from that and expect that the condition of the remaining bare 9 10 steel segments can be characterized as either 11 well past their prime and examples of deteriorating or what is known as "worn" pipe 12 13 or "leak-prone" pipe? 14 (Frost) Yes. Α. 15 Thank you. ο. 16 Now I'd like to go to your testimony on Bates Page 9. Specifically I'm looking at 17 Line 10. I see a figure of 2,668,808. Can 18 you explain what that figure represents? 19 20 (Frost) That represents costs from the fiscal Α. 21 year 2017 program for finished restoration 22 that occurred during fiscal year 2018. 23 That are being carried over in this Q. 24 proceeding or --

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 Α. (Frost) That is correct. They're being carried over into this proceeding. 2 Okay. Thank you. 3 Q. Now, please go to Attachment 2 of your 4 5 testimony. These are the large spreadsheets attached. 6 7 MR. SHEEHAN: If you'd like them, these are the bigger, if you want to -- not a 8 lot bigger, but a little bigger. 9 10 BY MS. FABRIZIO: 11 I'm looking at the one marked as Page 44 0. 12 that's handwritten in the lower corner of the 13 spreadsheet, lower right-hand corner. 14 MR. SHEEHAN: Commissioner, the 15 large printout is 44 and 45 combined, left to 16 right. 17 MS. FABRIZIO: We're all on the 18 same page? 19 BY MS. FABRIZIO: So if you go to Column BL and Row 83, 83 will 20 0. be the first shaded blue line at the bottom 21 22 of the column. I see a cell with the 23 2,668,808 that is referenced in your 24 testimony. Is that where this number in your {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

26 1 testimony came from? (Witness reviews document.) 2 (Frost) That is correct. 3 Α. And that's fiscal year 2017 carryover totals, 4 0. according to this exhibit. And I note in --5 I am understanding that correctly; right? 6 7 (Frost) Yes. Α. Okay. And I look directly above the Rows BL 8 0. 83 and see 24 lines of numbers --9 10 (Frost) Yes. Α. 11 -- beginning with 30,955 and ending with 0. 257,969; is that correct? 12 13 (Frost) Yes. Α. 14 Okay. Now I see those same sets of 24 Q. 15 numbers, and they appear to be duplicated in 16 Column AY --17 Α. (Frost) Yes. -- which is labeled "Actual Total Cost 18 Q. Loaded" and BE 83, which is labeled, "Total 19 Recoverable Cost"; is that correct? 20 21 Α. (Frost) Yes. 22 So now I see on Bates Page 27 of your 0. 23 testimony, and I'm looking at the second full 24 paragraph, the second line, you have a figure {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 of 2,718,259 listed at that point. Can you confirm which number is correct? 2 Is it this number or is it the 2,668 -- the 2,668,808 3 figure? 4 (Witness reviews document.) 5 (Frost) The discrepancy appears to be as a 6 Α. 7 result of degradation fees. I believe that 8 the Company can collect degradation fees under recovery. So it would be 2,718,259. 9 10 So this adds degradation fees to the total Q. 11 carryover cost figure that we saw earlier? (Frost) Yes. 12 Α. Okay. Thank you. 13 Q. 14 Now continuing down a little further on 15 Bates Page 27, the same paragraph, closer to 16 the middle, there's a sentence that begins, 17 "The Company is seeking the revenue requirement... " Do you see that sentence? 18 (Witness reviews document.) 19 20 (Frost) Yes. Α. 21 Could you read that sentence for the record, Q. 22 please? 23 (Frost) "The Company is seeking the revenue Α. requirement associated with \$1,402,570 of 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 fiscal year 2017 carryover costs above the allowable carryover cost as part of the step 2 increase in Docket No. DG 17-048." 3 Okay. And can you explain how the 1,402,570 4 0. is derived? 5 (Simek) I can't right now. I believe it was 6 Α. 7 the actual known spend at the time of the filing of the distribution rate case. 8 And does this number reflect the number 9 Q. 10 crunching prior to the Commission's issuance 11 of its order on April 27th? 12 (Simek) Yes. Α. 13 Okay. Q. 14 (Simek) Yes, it was the known spend above and Α. 15 beyond the 5 percent that we were aware had 16 already been placed in service, and we 17 requested it within the distribution rate 18 case. And given the Commission's order of 19 Q. 20 April 27th, does this number need to be 21 revised for purposes of this filing? 22 (Simek) No, it's the exact amount. Α. 23 Okay. And turning to back to Mr. Frost and Q. 24 Mr. Furey, in your testimony in Bates Page 9,

Line 21, and Bates Page 10, Lines 1, 2 and 3, 1 you state that -- you cite to city rules 2 regarding minimum temperature requirements, 3 or a requirement that trenches be allowed to 4 settle for one full freeze-thaw cycle before 5 final restoration; is that correct? 6 7 (Frost) Yes. Α. And am I correct in understanding that the 8 0. minimum temperatures apply to pavement 9 10 temperatures or air temperatures? 11 (Witness reviews document.) 12 (Frost) They apply to air temperature during Α. the day. 13 And are these mentioned only in the City of 14 Q. 15 Nashua's street repair requirements, to your 16 knowledge? Is this a requirement that is 17 clear in the three cities that you discuss in your testimony: Manchester, Concord and 18 19 Nashua? 20 (Frost) Yes. Paving specifications are Α. 21 applicable to Nashua, Manchester and Concord. 22 And is that specific requirement of minimum 0. 23 temperature applicable in all three of the cities that you are looking at: Manchester 24

1 Concord, Nashua? My understanding is that that applies only in Nashua, but I just -- it 2 may be an incorrect understanding. I'd like 3 to know what your understanding is. 4 (Frost) My understanding is that all three 5 Α. cities have paving specification 6 7 requirements. And so what exactly is the minimum 8 0. temperature below which paving should not 9 10 occur? 11 (Frost) The generally accepted temperature is Α. 60 degrees that New Hampshire DOT recommends, 12 13 which most cities adopt. 14 And my understanding is that in Nashua, that Q. 15 temperature is actually memorialized at 16 40 degrees. Is that your understanding? 17 Α. (Frost) Could you repeat the question? My understanding is that that minimum 18 Q. temperature is actually memorialized in 19 20 Nashua's requirements as 40 degrees. Was 21 that your understanding, working in Nashua? 22 (Frost) I do not have a copy of Nashua's Α. 23 standard specifications and ordinances up 24 here.

MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Speidel is going 1 to hand out copies to the witnesses of the 2 cities of Concord, Manchester and Nashua and 3 State of New Hampshire specifications for 4 paving requirements, and we would like to 5 mark these as exhibits. 6 7 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think three is the next number. 8 9 MS. FABRIZIO: Three is the next, 10 yeah. 11 BY MS. FABRIZIO: So you will see that you have general permit 12 Q. conditions and construction requirements for 13 the City of Concord, City of Manchester, City 14 of Nashua and the State of New Hampshire. 15 16 Could you point us to the minimum temperature 17 requirements that you relied on in each of these, please? 18 (Witness reviews document.) 19 20 If it helps, Staff was able to find a 0. 21 temperature restriction only in the City of 22 Nashua requirements, and that is on Bates 23 Page 14. Again, on Bates Page 16, the mixing temperature and the placing hot bituminous 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 pavement provisions refer to temperatures, specifically, surface temperature and air 2 temperature must be above 40 degrees with 3 respect to paving -- mixing of pavement for 4 5 paving. (Witness reviews document.) 6 7 Do you see that reference to 40 degrees? 0. So, given that limitation in the City of 8 Nashua, if there were a period of four hours 9 10 during the day in the fall, let's say between 11 10 a.m. and 2 p.m., and it was above 40 degrees, assuming the surface temperature is 12 13 warmer than the air temperature, would it be 14 possible to pave in Nashua? (Frost) I don't think I could conclusively 15 Α. 16 comment on that. We would have to verify 17 with the city engineer's office whether they would accept pavement in that situation. 18 When the temperature's above 40 degrees? 19 0. 20 (Frost) If they would accept pavement in a Α. 21 situation where the temperature was above 40 22 degrees for such a short period of time. 23 I was giving the time limitation only as Q. potential construction work hours during the 24

1 day, say between 10 and 2 on that day the temperature stays above 40 degrees. Could 2 the Company pave if they had paving to do? 3 (Frost) In a situation like that, we would 4 Α. contact the city engineer's office who 5 oversees our construction and has to accept 6 7 the final product, and we would ask them if a temperature of that short a duration would 8 allow proper curing of the asphalt 9 10 replacement. 11 And do you know if a similar restriction is Q. included in Manchester's or Concord's written 12 13 policies, based on your experience in those cities? 14 15 (Frost) Based on my experience, low Α. 16 temperatures, low ambient temperatures do not 17 result in proper quality of placed asphalt. So many municipalities will not allow the 18 Company to complete paving or finish paving 19 and final restoration during low temperature 20 21 periods. That forms the basis for the 22 varying calendar date restrictions on finish 23 paving. 24 Am I correct that the freeze-thaw Q.

requirements apply only after a certain date 1 in the calendar year, for example, after 2 November 15th, for all three cities? Is that 3 4 correct? 5 (Frost) Could you expound upon the Α. freeze-thaw requirement? 6 7 Along the same lines of thought, do these 0. temperature restrictions apply only after a 8 certain date in the calendar year? Do you 9 10 have to worry about these minimum, for 11 example, the 40-degree minimum that we saw in the Nashua requirements, you know, any time 12 of the year before November 15th? 13 (Frost) That would be correct. 14 Α. 15 What would be correct? I'm sorry. ο. 16 (Frost) They're two separate requirements, Α. 17 calendar-year restrictions on paving and temperature to ensure quality finished 18 19 product. 20 And what is the calendar-year restriction 0. 21 that's separate from the temperature 22 requirement? 23 (Frost) It's outlined in the exhibit you gave Α. Bates Page 3 of the exhibit defines, for 24 us.

the City of Concord, that no permanent street 1 restoration will be allowed between 2 November 15th and April 15th unless 3 specifically authorized by the city engineer. 4 5 So a typical construction period for the year Q. would be between April 15 and November 15. 6 7 (Frost) That would be the finished paving --Α. 8 0. Can you explain that, please? (Frost) -- requirement. Many times the 9 Α. 10 cities will allow us to continue construction 11 later than, all the way through the end of the year; however, they will not allow 12 13 placement of final restoration and finished 14 paving because cold temperatures will not 15 allow proper pavement placement. 16 After November 15th. Essentially that's the Q. 17 stop point for that; is that correct? (Frost) Typically you'd find that 18 Α. November 15th, the weather would be too cold 19 20 to allow satisfactory paving operations. 21 Q. Okay. All right. Going to try again. 22 November 15th appears to be the sort of 23 cut-off date for finished paving, as you call it, in each of the three cities. 24

1 November 15th in Concord, for example --Concord actually requires permanent patching 2 to wait only 30 days for the settling of a 3 temporary patch. So if you've put in the 4 temporary patch 30 days before 5 November 15th --6 7 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Knepper, maybe you can clarify how these -- what the 8 questions are here. 9 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. KNEPPER: Let me ask this question: So is it our 12 Q. understanding that if you look at Bates Page 13 004 right next to that Exhibit 3, it states 14 15 when that permanent patch has to be done; so 16 if you were finished in the month of May, you 17 could put that permanent patch on and let it settle? And what they're saying is anytime 18 after July 1st you could do the finished 19 20 paving. And then it gives a similar one for June, and it says you can do that finished 21 22 paving by August, and then similar by July, 23 and it continues all the way up to basically they want all finished paving by 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

November 15th? Is that correct? 1 (Frost) I believe that that chart mainly 2 Α. applies to spot repairs for Liberty. 3 That would be such as a single excavation for a 4 5 leak repair. The city typically requires us to finish all of the mains and service 6 7 project in its entirety before beginning final paving because they do not want kind of 8 a checker board, guilted patch. They would 9 like the job to be finished in its entirety 10 11 and paved as one unit. And this job could -this could be a main replacement job that 12 stretches for half a mile. 13 14 Correct. What we're saying is, if you have Q. 15 the half a mile done in the month of June, 16 looking at that page, you could finish pave 17 in August, the whole month of August, the whole month of September, the whole month of 18 October, and up to November 15th, is that 19 20 correct, if it was completely completed in the month of June? 21 22 (Frost) Yes, but I'm not aware of Liberty Α. 23 being able to complete a half-mile of construction by the beginning of June. 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 Q. Okay. If it was -- I'm not really worried about the half-mile or whether it's 2,000 2 feet or 4,000 feet. The whole point is the 3 city kind of lays out when you have to be 4 And basically, it does not require a 5 done. freeze-thaw cycle on all your jobs is what --6 7 looking at Exhibit 3, Bates Page 4 in that 8 calendar. (Frost) The Company's testimony last year 9 Α. 10 explained the freeze-thaw cycle. The City of 11 Concord allows an alternative restoration of milling and overlaying, that the Company 12 presented a 30-percent, approximately, cost 13 14 savings. And the Company came to an 15 agreement with the City of Concord to let the 16 trench settle over the winter, because during 17 the prior testing period there was paving warranty claims that cost the Company, and by 18 extension, the Company's customers, in 19 20 pavement repairs. And it was found that by 21 letting the trench settle over one winter 22 period, that those claims did not occur. So 23 the Company found it to be in the best interest of all parties to complete paving in 24

 $\{DG \ 18-064\}$  [HEARING ON THE MERITS]  $\{06-25-18\}$ 

the second year.

-				
2	Q.	Okay. So that's a Liberty-initiated or		
3		informal agreement, but that's not		
4		memorialized in the city's paving		
5		specifications; is that correct?		
6	Α.	(Frost) That is correct. That's in writing.		
7		We have it in writing with the city.		
8	Q.	So, looking and going on to the next page of		
9		Exhibit 3, in Manchester, do they have a		
10		similar freeze-thaw cycle restriction that		
11		you just mentioned for Concord?		
12	A.	(Frost) They only have an informal		
13		freeze-thaw cycle because the Company has		
14		presented the grind and inlay program that		
15		saves money to the City of Manchester and		
16		only applies to PILOT program projects in		
17		that city.		
18	Q.	And similar for Nashua. Do you see the same		
19		thing, a restriction for the freeze-thaw in		
20		their paving specifications?		
21	Α.	(Frost) No. Nashua mandates a settling		
22		period.		
23		MR. KNEPPER: Okay. I think that's		
24		what Lynn was trying to ask.		
$\{DG \ 18-064\}$ [HEARING ON THE MERITS] $\{06-25-18\}$				

	4	40
1	MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you, Mr.	
2	Knepper.	
3	BY MS. FABRIZIO:	
4	Q. All right. So, looking back at the large	
5	spreadsheet we have, Bates Page 44, I see a	
6	column labeled "AF." Column AF is labeled	
7	"Status." And within that column I see	
8	entries that are either blank or filled with	
9	"casbuilts" or "comps". My understanding is	
10	that casbuilt signifies an entry into the	
11	work system, indicating that mains and	
12	services have been installed, a work order is	
13	not closed out yet, and perhaps unfinished	
14	final paving costs or other restoration	
15	efforts may not be completed yet; is that	
16	correct? Can you explain what "casbuilt"	
17	stands for?	
18	A. (Frost) The projects marked "casbuilt,"	
19	they've had all final records received,	
20	they've been financially accounted for by the	
21	Company as the units of property are in	
22	service. The columns marked "comp" mean that	
23	all final records have been received, the	
24	projects are in service, the pipe is in	
L	$\{DG \ 18-064\}$ [HEARING ON THE MERITS] $\{06-25-18\}$	

service, but the financials have not been 1 finalized yet. And we did not reflect status 2 for carryover jobs, but those should all be 3 in casbuilt. 4 An example would be for the Concord mains in 5 Q. Lines 4 through 6 of Ridge Road, Langdon 6 7 Avenue and Downing Street. And the corresponding column, BG, Estimated Carryover 8 Loaded Costs, are, respectively, 87,404, 9 10 28,235 and 48,234. Is that correct for those 11 projects? (Frost) Those are estimated carryover costs. 12 Α. So, based on what you've said, the comp means 13 Q. the work order's completed and all costs are 14 15 finalized, including paving costs; is that 16 correct? (Frost) It does not include final 17 Α. restoration. 18 Okay. So if we look at Concord mains, 19 Q. 20 Row 10, Armour Place, Library Road, Column AF 21 says comps; and the corresponding Row BG, 22 Estimated Carryover Loaded Cost, is shown as 23 Is that what you see? zero. (Frost) Correct. 24 Α.

1 Q. And now reading Rows 12 and 13, I see Gladstone and Warren Street. 2 These are listed as comp, but there's also Column AF 3 that says comp, and corresponding Row BG, 4 Estimated Carryover Loaded Costs, is shown as 5 30,603 and 24,224, respectively. 6 So is the 7 comp completed, or is there work still to be done in those two projects? 8 (Witnesses Frost and Furey confer.) 9 10 (Frost) Yes, it does show carryover Α. 11 restoration costs for those projects because they have not been final paved. 12 Okay. Thank you. 13 Q. 14 Moving on to your testimony on Bates Page 15, Lines 6 and 7. You refer to 15 16 Attachment SDF/BRF-3. Could you point me to 17 the Bates page where you can find that? (Witness reviews document.) 18 Staff has not been able to identify that 19 Q. 20 particular exhibit. Does this need a 21 correction, or is there in fact an SDF/BRF-3? 22 MR. SHEEHAN: If I can help? It 23 clearly is not attached as Attachment 3 to the testimony. It's the marketing letter 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 that prior orders have required the Company to file. And we did file one, dated 2 December 20 of 2017. And I can -- we will 3 certainly provide a copy of that as 4 Attachment 3 to the testimony. But it was 5 filed in December. 6 7 MS. FABRIZIO: And that would fill 8 in the gap for that particular attachment, SDF/BRF-3? 9 10 MR. SHEEHAN: Correct. 11 MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. That would be helpful. 12 BY MS. FABRIZIO: 13 14 All right. I'm turning now to Mr. Simek and 0. 15 Ms. McNamara's testimony. 16 Okay. The Company's petition in this proceeding was initially filed prior to the 17 issuance of Commission Order No. 26,122 18 issued on April 27 in Docket DG 17-048 19 20 regarding permanent rate changes; is that 21 correct? 22 (Simek) Yes. Α. 23 Okay. And then your testimony was revised Q. 24 based on that order and refiled on May 31st, {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

44 2018; is that correct? 1 2 Α. (Simek) Yes. Thank you. So if we look at the last three 3 Q. lines of Bates Page 49R, which indicates a 4 5 revised page ... (Pause in proceedings) 6 7 My apologies. If we look the last three 0. lines, 21 -- 20, 21 and 22, Bates Page 49R, 8 and Lines 1, 2, 3 of Bates Page 50R, this 9 10 states that the revised Attachment DBS/CAM-1 11 was filed and incorporated into the depreciation study of DG 08-009. Was there a 12 13 new depreciation study filed as part of DG 17 - 048?14 15 (Simek) Yes. Α. 16 That new depreciation study in that docket Q. 17 and used by the Commission in its order left the category of mains the same at a 60-year 18 depreciation life, with a negative net 19 20 salvage value; is that correct? 21 Α. (Simek) Subject to check, yes. 22 And this translates into a whole-life 0. 23 depreciation accrual rate of 1.92 percent? 24 (Simek) Again, without having that in front Α. {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

of me, I would just have to say, subject to 1 2 check, yes. And do you recall this indicates to Staff 3 Q. there is no change between the depreciation 4 study for DG 17-048 and DG 08-009 for the 5 category of mains? Was that your 6 7 understanding as well, based on the Commission's order? 8 (Simek) Again I'd have to double-check. 9 Α. Ι 10 want to point out this is for fiscal year 11 2018, which is based on items that were placed in service by March 31st of 2018. 12 And this being your testimony, can you --13 0. (Simek) No. All the dollar amounts that were 14 Α. 15 placed in service in this procedure, whereas 16 the rate case depreciation study was not even 17 ruled upon until -- or was meant to be for rates effective, I believe it was June 1st --18 19 or May 1st. I'm sorry. 20 Okay. So with respect to the category of 0. 21 services, and I guess we're relying on your 22 recollection of the Commission's order and 23 the implications for this proceeding, the latest depreciation study changed the life of 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

services from 40 years in DG 08-009 to 45 1 2 years. (Simek) That sounds correct. 3 Α. And this 45-year life of service, coupled 4 0. with a negative net salvage value, translates 5 into a whole-life depreciation accrual rate 6 7 of 3.55 percent; is that correct? (Simek) Subject to check, it sounds correct. 8 Α. So this is a change from the previous rate of 9 Q. 10 4.0 percent associated with DG 08-009? 11 (Simek) Correct. Α. So if you go back to Bates Page 59R, and this 12 Q. time, services, I see the rate 4.0 percent. 13 14 (Simek) I'm sorry. What is the question? Α. 15 Can you go to Bates Page 59R in your Q. 16 testimony? 17 Α. (Simek) Yes. And what rate do you see for services with 18 Q. 19 respect to depreciation? 20 (Simek) Four percent. Α. 21 Q. Hmm-hmm. And can you tell me what the 22 resulting effect would be if we were to apply 23 3.55 percent? 24 (Simek) Not off the top of my head, no. Α. But

1 I do want to emphasize that that is the rate 2 that was in place when these capital projects were placed in service. 3 Okay. 4 Q. Thank you. That concludes my 5 MS. FABRIZIO: questions for the witness panel. 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner 8 Bailey. INTERROGATORIES BY COMMISSIONERS: 9 10 BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY: I only have a couple high-level questions. 11 0. One, or first, the door hangers that you said 12 13 you would rather do than figuring out which 14 customers along the route have service, would 15 you expect maybe to get new customers if you 16 did door hangers? 17 Α. (Frost) We currently use door hangers as part of our -- sorry about that. We currently use 18 19 door hangers as part of our marketing efforts 20 overall with the Company. So, yes. 21 Q. So, then, you're asking to eliminate doing 22 something, but you're not doing anything 23 different. You just want to stop having to figure out which customers along the route 24

have service and send those individual 1 customers additional information than the 2 general information that you send to all 3 4 customers? (Frost) If I understand correctly, yes, we'd 5 Α. like to send general information to all 6 7 households along the route of a CIBS project. 8 0. Which you do today. (Frost) And we also complete follow-up 9 Α. 10 marketing with non-customers. We'd like to 11 eliminate the follow-up marketing because of the cost effort shown. 12 And did your analysis show that not that many 13 Q. customers who -- or not that many potential 14 15 customers, the people that don't have 16 service, not many of those sign up for 17 service when you are replacing the mains on their street? 18 (Frost) I think it would be hard to 19 Α. characterize "not that many." The Company 20 21 has a very high saturation rate along the 22 routes where CIBS projects take place, an 23 extremely high saturation rate, in the high 80s to 90 percent. We are able to convert a 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 fraction of those customers. However, the number is just a number. It isn't that much, 2 as shown on Bates Page 18, that we converted 3 16 customers in fiscal year 2018. 4 Sixteen out of potentially how many? 5 Q. (Witness reviews document.) 6 7 (Frost) So, 154 letters were sent to Α. non-customers. This is shown on Bates 16, 8 Line 1. 9 Okay. Thanks. I get that now. 10 0. 11 The second question is about Keene. Do you have cast iron/bare steel in Keene? 12 13 (Frost) There are currently cast iron mains Α. 14 in Keene. 15 But that's not part of this program at this Q. 16 point. (Frost) No, it is not. 17 Α. 18 Okay. Thank you. Q. That's all I have. 19 CMSR. BAILEY: 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner 21 Giaimo. 22 BY COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: 23 Good afternoon. On Bates 8, it says, "The 0. 24 Company remains committed to and is on track {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

for completing the removal of substantially 1 all of the leak... and associated bare steel 2 by 2024." Is that still on track? 3 (Frost) Yes, as shown on Bates 21, our chart 4 Α. shows in fiscal year 2024 that we'd be less 5 than 10 miles at current predictions. 6 7 Do you have a forecast as to the total cost 0. of the project as of 2024 then? 8 (Frost) We do not have a total cost. 9 Α. On Bates 13, you suggest, where prudent, the 10 0. 11 Company endeavors to align the CIBS work to 12 occur in conjunction with municipal-driven projects by aligning the work you do with the 13 14 work they need to have done. Has an analysis 15 been done as to what those synergies have 16 saved customers? 17 Α. (Frost) I'm not aware of the specific 18 analysis. Would you suggest that it's significant? 19 Q. Α 20 reduction of 10, 15, 20 percent maybe? 21 Α. (Frost) That would be the correct range based 22 on what fraction paving costs results play 23 into total job costs. 24 In the last interchange or the last Q.

discussion you had with Commissioner Bailey, 1 you talked about 16 customers had been 2 converted. So that was 16 out of 26 that 3 reached out to you after your initial 4 communication; is that correct? 5 (Witness reviews document.) 6 7 (Frost) Yes. As the chart on Bates 17 shows, Α. there were 26 customers that responded to our 8 letter -- or 26 potential customers that 9 10 responded to our letter. Of those, 16 11 actually signed up for gas service. That sounds like a lot to me. 12 Is that a lot? Q. Sixteen out of 26 sounds like a pretty good 13 14 hit rate. 15 (Frost) Well, that's -- there was 154 Α. 16 prospects that we sent -- non-customers that 17 received letters. Of those, 26 called us back, and of that 26, 16 actually signed up 18 for service. 19 20 On Page 20, you talk about you don't want to 0. 21 engage in extra time-consuming and extensive 22 efforts to market. If you're getting a 23 62-percent hit rate on the initial marketing efforts, how much more effort is it? 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 (Frost) Well, I think the Company's position Α. is that the cost of acquisition of customers 2 along CIBS routes throughout this method is 3 exponentially higher than the cost of 4 acquisition of customers along main extension 5 projects. And the Company feels it's a more 6 7 prudent use of funds to market more heavily in main extension areas than in CIBS areas. 8 Okay. So I guess where I'm struggling is if 9 Q. 10 the ground is open and there are customers 11 around there, it seems to make sense to try to connect customers, even if the marketing 12 costs are high, because it seems like it's 13 open and available and accessible. 14 Am I 15 wrong? 16 (Frost) Yes. Well, the Company still Α. 17 proposes to make an offer to customers along CIBS routes. The Company would just like to 18 modify the marketing a little bit, to be a 19 20 little less -- to spend a little less time on 21 it, but to still make that offer. And I 22 think it can also be -- the Company is 23 thankful for the 16 customers we received along CIBS routes. But we also added -- the 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 total number of customers added through all means last year was 1,621. So, as a portion, 2 the number of customers obtained during CIBS 3 is a very small fraction of the total of the 4 Company's marketing efforts for the year. 5 I think Attorney Fabrizio asked the 6 Q. Okay. 7 panel if they had seen Mr. Knepper's 8 testimony. I think the answer was generally yes; correct? 9 10 WITNESS PANEL: Yes. 11 On Page 24 of Mr. Knepper's testimony, he Q. suggests -- and this is my words -- that 12 Liberty's CIBS planned for 2019 may be a 13 little over-ambitious. 14 I guess I'd like to 15 hear your thoughts on the plan, whether or 16 not you think that's an accurate statement. 17 (Witnesses Frost and Furey confer.) (Frost) The Company does not feel that it's 18 Α. over-ambitious. For the past several years 19 20 we've continually increased the amount of 21 CIBS mains replaced. We feel that we can 22 work on this. The Company also has high 23 goals each year. Because this is leak-prone pipe that presents a safety risk higher than, 24

say, plastic pipe or other modern piping 1 2 systems, the Company endeavors to get it out of the ground as soon as possible. 3 And my last question would be, it sounds like 4 0. the Safety Division, based on Mr. Knepper's 5 testimony, believes it's an appropriate time 6 7 to re-examine the program. Does the Company think it's worthy of or in need of being 8 re-examined, or should you continue on course 9 through 2024? 10 11 (Simek) We like the program as it's designed Α. now. Of course, we're willing to discuss 12 with Mr. Knepper and maybe come up with 13 14 alternatives. But we're happy with the way it is now. 15 16 COMMISSIONER GIAIMO: Thanks. 17 BY CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I just want to return to the marketing issue. 18 Q. 19 I think I understand your position at a few 20 different levels, that, at bottom, these places have been on a gas line for a long, 21 22 long time. If they haven't converted to gas 23 by now, there may be a hard sell for a variety of reasons. 24 That's part of what's

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

going on; right? 1 (Frost) That is correct. 2 Α. Are these customers still moneymakers, 3 Q. though, even when you factor in the costs of 4 getting them onboard? How many years does it 5 take before they're moneymakers for you? 6 (Frost) Well, I believe we spend 7 Α. approximately, roughly one year's worth of 8 gross profit margin in marketing costs. 9 10 0. That's overall? 11 (Frost) On the CIBS customer. Α. On the CIBS customers. So they're profitable 12 Q. after one year? 13 14 (Frost) Well, you would have to add in also Α. the cost of service, installation. 15 16 Right. So, I mean, how many more years does Q. 17 it take? I mean, it may well be this. It doesn't make sense. If it takes 20 years to 18 make one of your customers profitable, then I 19 20 think we all understand that probably isn't 21 worth it. 22 (Frost) I don't know the exact figures. It Α. 23 also depends on the length of service that's 24 required to be laid. But the profitability {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 range would probably be out to six to seven years, if I were to estimate. 2 I think the other questions I had have 3 Okay. Q. been answered. 4 5 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan, do you have any further questions for your 6 7 panel? 8 MR. SHEEHAN: A few follow-up, if I 9 may. 10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 11 BY MR. SHEEHAN: Mr. Frost, on that last topic, you said, and 12 Q. 13 I understand you're estimating, that the 14 advertising costs, marketing costs, for a 15 CIBS customer is roughly equivalent to one 16 year's margin, \$700 or \$800; correct? 17 Α. (Frost) That is correct. And then by saying six or seven years to 18 Q. become "profitable," if that's the right 19 20 word, means you have to spend another five or 21 six times 700 for the service and related 22 costs. 23 (Frost) Yes, we have to install the pipe and Α. 24 re-pave the street.

Q. And the Company's request here is not to cease marketing to CIBS customers, but basically to take a step back and go with the more general notifications that we give all customers along CIBS routes, that we'll be in your neighborhood and, in effect digging up your streets.

(Frost) That is correct. We'd like to make a 8 Α. general notification. In the past few years, 9 at the request of Staff, the Company has 10 increased its marketing, gone to more 11 personalized marketing to each of these 12 customers to try to see what the results were 13 going to be. And I think we've determined 14 15 that it has not resulted in something that is 16 the best use of funds.

Q. Mr. Simek, I think you said it twice, but to
be clear, the depreciation rate from 08-009
is what applies to the dollars we're seeking
recovery for today.

21 A. (Simek) Correct.

Q. And the depreciation rate from the most
recent rate case would apply to pipes going
in the ground today --

1 Α. (Simek) Correct. -- and going forward. 2 0. (Simek) Correct. 3 Α. MR. SHEEHAN: That's all I have. 4 5 Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 6 7 Let's go off the record for a minute. (Discussion off the record) 8 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: We'll go back 9 10 on the record. We're going to take a break and come back in about 30 minutes. 11 (Brief recess was taken at 2:53 p.m., 12 13 and the hearing resumed at 3:39 p.m.) CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Would you 14 swear in the witness. 15 16 (WHEREUPON, RANDALL S. KNEPPER was/were 17 duly sworn and cautioned by the Court Reporter.) 18 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fabrizio. 19 20 MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you, Mr. 21 Chairman. 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, could you please state for the 24 Q. {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

		5:		
1		record your full name and your position with		
2		the Commission.		
3	Α.	Randall Knepper. I'm the Director of Safety		
4		and Security.		
5	Q.	Thank you. And are you familiar with the		
6		document you filed in this docket on		
7		June 18th, 2018?		
8	Α.	I am.		
9	Q.	With revisions filed on June 19th?		
10	A.	Yes.		
11	Q.	Thank you. And what is that document?		
12	Α.	That's my testimony with attachments.		
13	Q.	And did you prepare this testimony with the		
14		attachments as part of your responsibilities		
15		for reviewing the petitioner's CIBS filing in		
16		this docket?		
17	Α.	Yes, I did.		
18	Q.	And are you the primary analyst responsible		
19		for examining the CIBS filing here at the		
20		Commission?		
21	Α.	Yes.		
22	Q.	Mr. Knepper, do you have any corrections		
23		you'd like to make to hearing exhibit to		
24		your testimony and attachments, which I'd		
{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}				

60 1 like to admit as Hearing Exhibit 4? CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Well, why 2 don't we get an answer to the question and 3 4 then you can --BY MS. FABRIZIO: 5 Do you have any corrections to make to your 6 ο. 7 testimony and attachments? I have two minor corrections. 8 Α. They're spelling corrections, if you want, just to 9 10 put them on the record. 11 Page 13, Bates Page 13, Line Item 1, I spelled "forecast" wrong. And Bates Page 14, 12 Line Item 13, I spelled "three" wrong. 13 14 Someday I will have testimony that is correct and I can say "zero." 15 16 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. So 17 you want to have the testimony marked as Exhibit 4. 18 19 MS. FABRIZIO: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Is it your 21 idea that the June 19th corrective filing 22 will just be replacing pages, or are you 23 going to mark that separately? 24 MS. FABRIZIO: In the end, the {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

revised testimony was filed in full with the 1 corrected pages, and so I would recommend 2 that we adopt that as Hearing Exhibit 4. 3 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: So the 4 testimony is from the June 19th filing. 5 The attachments are from whatever date it was 6 7 when the earlier one was filed? 8 MS. FABRIZIO: 18th, yes. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: 9 Okav. (Exhibit 4 marked for identification.) 10 11 BY MS. FABRIZIO: Mr. Knepper, could you please offer a brief 12 Q. summary of your testimony. 13 14 I reviewed Liberty's petition. Α. Sure. Ι 15 looked at their accompanying testimony that 16 they filed. We did, I guess, limited 17 discovery and had one tech session. In regards to reconciling and approving the 18 costs associated with the fiscal year 2018 19 20 cast iron and bare steel program -- this is 21 the 10th year of this program, what we call 22 the "CIBS Program" -- I believe following 23 practices which have been done in the past, which should continue on for next year as 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

well, should be to submit the final petition 1 by April 15th of each year, continue the 2 cutting out of the physical segments and 3 issuing the reports to us. I still believe 4 that filing of your customer retainment for 5 those customers along the way and conversions 6 7 is a worthwhile endeavor. I would like their report to go a little bit further in talking 8 about how the hundred-foot free service offer 9 that they used for those who currently don't 10 11 have gas service was successful in obtaining their customers. And I believe an audit 12 should be done on this every year that the 13 14 program is in place. And lastly, as I stated 15 in my testimony, I don't believe any excess 16 carryover costs should be included. 17 Q. Thank you. Mr. Knepper, on Bates Page 16 of your 18 19 testimony, Lines 17 to 18, you state that the 20 settlement allows for recovery of only 21 \$735,802 unless the Safety Division approves 22 a higher amount; is that correct? 23 That's correct. Α. And are you familiar with the letter Mr. 24 Q.

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 Sheehan filed on June 21st formally requesting recovery of excess carryover costs 2 of \$579,887, over and above the \$735,802 that 3 we just referenced? 4 That's correct, I am familiar with it. 5 Α. And does the Safety Division plan to approve 6 ο. 7 that request? 8 Α. No. 9 Q. Okay. Thank you. 10 Do you agree with the Company's 11 statements that you've heard earlier today regarding municipal paving? 12 Some of them. 13 Α. 14 Okay. Could you elaborate, please. 0. I believe if you read their testimony, it 15 Α. 16 kind of lumps all the cities and towns' 17 paving restrictions together. And in general, they are very similar to each other. 18 But if there is nothing that prevents Liberty 19 20 from doing final pavement on projects that 21 are completed early in the season -- and when 22 I say "early," I mean prior to October; so 23 those that are completed in May, June, July, August, September -- they could get those --24

1 if they could get those final pavements done, especially for Nashua and Manchester, they 2 could be completed, and that would lower the 3 excess carryover costs. I've seen that in 4 both last year's for fiscal year 2017, which 5 are the subject of this year's reconciling, 6 7 and we also see it in the numbers with the completed projects of fiscal year 2018. 8 So the carryover issue has been discussed in the 9 10 past. I think we discussed it in the last 11 two CIBS proceedings. And I just think that 12 we're at disagreements between the Company and myself. 13 14 Thank you. Q. MS. FABRIZIO: Staff has no further 15 16 questions for Mr. Knepper. 17 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Buckley, 18 do you have any questions for Mr. Knepper? 19 MR. BUCKLEY: Just a few, very 20 brief questions. 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION 22 BY MR. BUCKLEY: 23 Mr. Knepper, you mentioned at Bates 7, Lines 0. 10 through 13, "The [sic] delicate balance to 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

weigh the need to replace aging piping systems as they near... undesirable condition at which leaks... in mains break against premature replacement of pipes that have many years of useful life and pose little risk to the public."

7 So I pose a question for you here, recognizing that you are, of course, kind of 8 the head of the Safety Division here at the 9 10 PUC. But I would like to hear your 11 perspective on whether at some point we would reach a point of diminishing returns 12 regarding investment in CIBS replacement. 13 14 I think conceptually we are starting to see Α. 15 that. So the bulk of the CIBS program over 16 the last 10 years has replaced approximately, 17 I believe, on the order of 60 percent of the inventory that was out there. Liberty has 18 picked up its pace in the last couple years, 19 20 and the construction season going on this 21 year is another aggressive one. And so 22 that's, you know, been part of that 23 reduction. But when you -- you also want to look at the corresponding leak rates that are 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

associated with those pipes and the overall leakage rates on their system, and they've been declining as well. And we're starting to see periods now where the leakage rate isn't really declining in the same relationship as it was. We saw steep curves dropping on the leakage rate, and now we're starting to see it flattening out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

So I think the answer is, conceptually, 9 yes, there is a point where, you know, you're 10 11 replacing it and you're doing it because it's aged. And it will fail at some time, you 12 just don't know when. But it's not going to 13 14 be based on the corresponding leakage rates. 15 And are you aware of instances where other Q. 16 LDCs have partnered with non-governmental 17 organizations to help detect where they might want to target programs like CIBS due to 18 leaks that are detectable in open air? 19 20 Can you give me an example of what you're Α. 21 referring to? 22 I'm thinking of the Environmental Defense 0. 23 Fund has partnered with I think Boston Gas. It's the Boston Metropolitan area, and they 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

publish maps of various leaks. And I think those maps are used to help target programs like this.

1

2

3

Yes, EDF has helped target that. 4 There's Α. been a couple other ones across the country. 5 Liberty's not been one of the candidates 6 7 selected to do that because, No. 1, we don't have a huge backlog of 30,000 leaks that are 8 unattended. So we have a much more smaller, 9 10 confined and smaller-scale program. So they 11 take this technology, put it in cars, and they go around and drive around the cities 12 and they look for leakage rates. 13 The 14 technology that they use is much more, I 15 don't know, at a different level than what 16 the gas company uses. It's at a scale that 17 picks up parts per billion versus parts per million. So they find a lot, a lot, a lot of 18 little leaks. But it doesn't always 19 20 distinguish if the leaks are coming from the 21 gas system. It's just any leaks that are in 22 So it could be useful, but I doubt town. 23 that they would apply that to a New Hampshire 24 program.

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

So, moving on at Bates 25, you discuss -- you 1 Q. express support for a full review of the CIBS 2 mechanism I think prior to fiscal year 2020. 3 Can you just very briefly summarize your 4 thoughts on that matter? 5 So, yes, on Bates Page 25, I think I 6 Α. Yes. 7 list some of the things that we think is worth exploring. So the CIBS program, as I 8 said before, is 10 years old now. 9 I think 10 anything after 10 years is worth going back 11 and looking to see if the parameters that were initially considered when you're 12 designing the program are still valid. 13 And 14 so we think that it may be time to explore 15 that at this point in time. So we're talking 16 about fiscal year 2020. And I think we wanted to give notification to the Company to 17 sit down and have that discussion, and let's 18 explore whether it makes sense to either 19 20 suspend this or terminate this. And we've 21 done that. 22 So, in addition to some of the things 23 there, there's precedent here at the

Commission for doing that. We did it in

24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

Northern Utilities in a docket in 2000 that was initially started in 1990s. So after 10 years we put a hiatus on that program, and the company continued to replace bare steel on their own; it just wasn't done with a tracking mechanism or a surcharge or a step adjustment. So it's not something that's never been done here before.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

And we're kind of nearing the end. 9 Ι think at the end of this fiscal year, 2019, I 10 11 think they're predicting to be less than 70 miles. I think it's going to be somewhere 12 in the mid 60s. I could be precise and look 13 So it's now less than 4 percent. 14 it up. The cast iron/bare steel is less than 4 percent 15 16 of their total system. So it's now getting 17 into, I don't know, call it the last phase. And so to follow up on that suggested 18 Q. investigation, we spoke a moment ago about 19 20 whether maybe there was a conceptual point 21 where we would reach a point of diminishing 22 returns investing in the CIBS program. Is it 23 your expert opinion right now, have we reached that point, or is there more to go? 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 I think we wrote a letter that said it's Α. 2 worth exploring now. So the answer to that is I can't tell you that right now, but I 3 think it's definitely worth having the 4 5 discussion. This whole CIBS program has been a collaborative approach from the beginning 6 7 between the Staff and the utilities and OCA. And I think now we don't want to stop that. 8 We just want to have the discussion and 9 re-examine. 10 So one final line of questioning here for you 11 0. related to the targeted marketing to new 12 customer prospects under the CIBS program. 13 14 If I recall from your testimony, you --15 let me ask you. Can you just briefly explain 16 your support for the continued targeted 17 marketing? Yeah, I think I look at it differently. 18 Α. When you pick up 16 out of 26 customers, that's a 19 20 success. When you're picking up 16 out of 21 154, I would say that's a success. When 22 you're going by a house, and this is the only 23 opportunity because they're going to change out their heating equipment, which typically 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 lasts on the order of 20, 25 years, these opportunities don't come by very often. 2 So, comparing, I don't know, hard, difficult 3 customers that it takes to attain -- and I 4 agree there's a reason why they're not 5 already customers to those new main extension 6 7 programs where there can be new development. It's brand new equipment. I just think it's 8 not even a comparison. I would totally 9 10 expect those costs to pick up that customer 11 to be higher. And just like any business, you don't get rid of low-margin business and 12 only try to get high margin. You keep a 13 blend of those type of customers and what it 14 takes. So I just think we have differing 15 16 opinions as to the worthwhileness of it. I do remember back when they weren't

17 doing that. We would have entire years where 18 19 they picked up one customer or no customers. And so I believe that those marketing efforts 20 21 should continue. I think, and I've said it 22 in my testimony, I think it can be even 23 further enhanced the further out you go. The more decision time you give customers, two 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

years', three years' notice, and not just the 1 2 one year, I think you'll have a higher chance of success. 3 Would it surprise you to know that the Office 4 0. of the Consumer Advocate has some concern 5 about per-customer marketing costs somewhere 6 7 around \$750 to \$1,000 compared to what those costs are elsewhere on main extensions being 8 closer to \$33? 9 10 Yeah, I think that they are picking up those Α. 11 ones that are main extensions. But I don't think that you want to make that comparison. 12 No further questions. 13 0. 14 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan. 15 MR. SHEEHAN: Thank you. 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. SHEEHAN: Mr. Buckley just asked you some questions 18 Q. 19 about whether the program is reaching a point 20 of diminishing returns. How did you define the meaning of "diminishing returns" when you 21 22 answered? 23 I don't think I defined it. Α. Well, in your head, how did you interpret the 24 Q.

1 question?

2	А.	I'm starting to look at if you look at if			
3		you look at in my head, I'm starting to			
4	visualize curves where the leakage rate is				
5	going down and now it's starting to flatten				
6	out. So if you picture it very much like a				
7	restoration curve for electric customers, you				
8		get a lot of customers restored quickly. But			
9		the ones at the end take the most amount of			
10	effort, the most amount of cost, and you get				
11		the fewest on. That's what I'm visualizing.			
12	Q.	And are you implying that's a bad thing, that			
13		the last few customers are going to take more			
14	money to fix, in this case, the leaks on the				
15		pipes in front of their house?			
16	Α.	I didn't imply whether it was good or bad. I			
17		didn't imply any of that. Someone asked me			
18		is there a point, and that's what you have to			
19		examine and you have to look at. Without			
20		having the data and discussions with the			
21		Company to see if there is, you know, a			
22		relationship, I don't know. I don't really			
23		know exactly where that would be. But I			
24		think conceptually, ideally, probably like			
I	{D	G 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}			

1 anything, there's a point where the efforts to do certain things outweigh the costs to do 2 it. 3 I should preface this line of questioning 4 0. with the fact that the Company has no problem 5 having these discussions. So please don't 6 7 take that to be the focus here. I'm just exploring your thinking. 8 You are aware that the Company selects 9 the cast iron pipes to be replaced by a 10 11 number of factors, including leak history, where one batch of leaks may be closer to 12 another batch and it may make sense to 13 14 replace that line, and many other factors in 15 trying to do the most cost-effective 16 replacement earlier and then saving, in effect, the hardest ones for the end. 17 You're aware of that basic mindset. 18 Yes, and I think I kind of summarize that in 19 Α. 20 my testimony as well. 21 Q. In fact, you've urged that over the years, 22 ways we could do a better job at those sorts 23 of things. I think that we're doing things more on 24 Yes. Α.

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

a neighborhood basis. We're getting the 1 smaller pipes. We're getting the ones that 2 are not necessarily the most expensive ones 3 to get at. And so we've been part of that 4 process of selecting candidates. But I don't 5 think anybody here has a crystal ball that 6 7 says this is the exact candidate. And we try to look at it and review it and see if what 8 you're selecting is appropriate, and I think 9 we give our comments back. And so far we 10 11 think -- well, even looking at the results of the bare steel, I would say that you have 12 corrosion problems on your bare steel that's 13 14 totally evident, and they're not getting any 15 better. 16 And that was going to be my next set of Q. 17 questions. In counsel's examination of our witnesses, they were asking about those 18 samples that we've been providing you over 19 20 the years. And what I got from the gist of 21 the line of questioning is that pipes we're

23 A. I think the questions was about active24 corrosion. And so if you consider active

taking out now are still pretty bad.

22

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

corrosion, similar to the questioning that 1 2 was just going on, where's the point that you consider things active corrosion --3 If I may interrupt. What is active 4 0. 5 corrosion? So, active corrosion by the code says when 6 Α. 7 you have more than 70 percent wall loss, it says you have to remediate it, and it says 8 you must replace it, right. So if the 9 Company says I have active corrosion in my 10 11 system, they would have to replace it. Doesn't matter whether there's a program or 12 not. And they have to replace it all, 13 14 immediately. The problem with that is you 15 don't have an army. You probably can't. 16 There's pragmatic reasons that municipalities 17 aren't just going to allow you to do certain So now we're back into, you know, I 18 things. don't deny that there's active corrosion, I 19 20 guess, to get around that requirement, but 21 from my standpoint, we have all the elements 22 of having active corrosion. And so this 23 whole program of cast iron/bare steel is to get rid of the bare steel, right. Not just 24

the bare steel mains, but to get rid of those 1 bare steel services. And if we're getting 2 rid of the bare steel services and they're 3 attached to cast iron, it only makes sense to 4 get rid of the cast iron. 5 Just a couple quick topics. We have 6 Q. 7 discussed carryover costs many times in the past, and I will be brief. 8 You will agree that the various paving 9 restrictions and requirements of the cities 10 11 at a high level means that jobs that are completed at some point in the fall simply 12 can't be paved until the next spring; 13 14 correct? 15 I think that you should take those -- I guess Α. 16 I wouldn't answer the question that way. Ι 17 look at those as constraints. We have constraints. The city and town have 18 19 constraints on their paving restrictions. We 20 know that going in, right. We know what we 21 want to get done. We know the resources that 22 we can apply. Liberty's in charge of those 23 resources, how fast they get something done. And that all becomes part of the equation. 24 Τ

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

don't look at it as that's the thing that's causing us not to get it done. I look at it as that's a factor you have to consider as part of what the program is when you present it.

So, for instance, this year alone, there 6 7 was 45 projects scheduled. I believe it was 7 or 8 -- I have to look at my testimony --8 that didn't even get started. So that has 9 10 nothing to do with even the city or town 11 requirements, right. And there was a whole host of ones that never got paved, even 12 13 though it was well before the paving deadlines of the city. So I look at that and 14 15 say I'm not so sure it's necessarily that's a 16 constraint that you can't work into the 17 equation. Can you answer my question now? Would you 18 Q. agree with me that projects that are 19 20 completed after a certain point in the fall 21 won't be paved until the next spring? 22 Yes, the ones on November 15th, typically, Α.

23 yes.

1

2

3

4

5

24 Q. And you agree with --

And when you say "completed," okay, per 1 Α. Liberty's completion, that just means mains 2 and services installed. You have to have a 3 period where you have to wait to settle, let 4 5 the temporary pavement get settled, and then you can do that final restoration. 6 Both the 7 settling period and that final restoration are known constraints that the Company knows. 8 I understand that. But the other constraint 9 Q. is there's only so many miles and so many 10 11 crews can complete over the course of the season; correct? You have whatever the 12 number of crews on the street. 13 They're only 14 going to be able to do so many miles. 15 Well, it depends how many crews you Α. 16 have and --That's what I said. 17 Q. You have X number of crews, you're going to get Y number of miles. 18 19 Α. So if you know what you're targeting, then I 20 think you have to get the resources to get 21 that done. 22 But Mr. Knepper, you also have a concern 0. 23 about the Company having too many contractors out there that we're not managing properly --24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 A. Right.

-	A.	RIGHC.
2	Q.	Then when you say don't have so many
3	Α.	I totally agree. I think that is a
4		constraint that you have to look at, that if
5		your crews just don't work on their own.
6		You have to manage them. You have to make
7		them part of your process, right. So you
8		could say I want to do a hundred projects
9		this year. But if you don't have the crews,
10		you're just going to have a lot of unfinished
11		ones.
12	Q.	So, based on the crews that we actually have,
13		if we want to get a good chunk of CIBS miles
14		removed, we have to work late into the year.
15		Would you agree with that?
16	Α.	Or you can adjust your other work that crews
17		are working on, new growth or municipal
18		projects. Those are also two other factors
19		that can be looked at.
20	Q.	And those are all competing priorities within
21		our company, or any company.
22	Α.	I believe so.
23	Q.	And isn't it the purpose of the CIBS program
24		to try to get CIBS work close to the top of
l	{D	G 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 that priority stack by allowing for the annual recovery of the allowed costs? 2 I think that's one of the factors, yes. 3 Α. Briefly on the marketing. I believe whatever 4 0. 5 the label is, the ramped-up marketing was a proposal of yours a couple years ago, that we 6 7 try to make a bigger effort to market to 8 these unserved people on CIBS routes; 9 correct? 10 Yes. Α. And I believe this was the third year that we 11 0. have employed what we've called the 12 13 "upgraded" or "ramped-up" tactics. Would you 14 agree? 15 Yes. Α. 16 And the hope was, yours and ours, that we Q. 17 would pick up more of the CIBS customers, more of the customers along CIBS routes; 18 19 correct? 20 Yes, and more compared to when those weren't Α. 21 being done. 22 And the testimony that Mr. Frost and Mr. 0. 23 Furey filed, the chart that I believe they were reviewing with Commissioner Giaimo on 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

Bates 17, has that table of saturation rates, 1 2 number of prospects, number of responses and number of conversions. And when you get 3 there, my question is -- the first year in 4 that table was before the upgraded process, 5 and the last three were after. And I quess 6 7 my question is -- there really isn't that much of a difference, understanding this is a 8 very small sample of a very quirky 9 year-to-year customer base. 10 11 I think when you go down to even prior to Α. that, that's not part of this table, fiscal 12 year 14 and 13, we were seeing numbers of 13 zero and one for a conversion from. 14 15 We have four for the first year of the Q. 16 ramped-up. So it sounds like it's increasing. 17 Α. Right. But isn't this also a case -- well, we have 18 Q. 15 before it started. 19 20 Well, the other part of this, Attorney Α. 21 Sheehan, is that, you know, you're doing more 22 work, right. So we're getting the prospects. 23 You can see the numbers increase: 154, 67, So I would think that I would expect 24 59.

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 that there will be more customers in the future. Why would we want to just put door 2 hangers on something? 3 But the reason would be, Mr. Knepper, is --4 0. again, I understand this is a small sample in 5 this exhibit. But in the year without the 6 7 extra efforts, we got 15 new customers out of 8 47 possibilities. Three years later, we have 150 possibilities and we get 16. 9 So the 10 extra efforts -- again, understanding the 11 limitations of this -- did not result in more customers per mile or --12 Right. So that's why I made my comment of 13 Α. maybe we start in earlier than just the 14 15 single year and you give those customers more 16 time to do that. That could be a change to 17 do. But my question is, and I'm asking the 18 Q. questions, is doesn't what we have done --19 20 MS. FABRIZIO: Objection here. 21 Counsel seems to be arguing with the witness. 22 And in part, he appears to be testifying on 23 behalf of the Company. If we could kind of focus and --24

1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled.	
I CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Overruled.	
2 You can continue.	
3 BY MR. SHEEHAN:	
4 Q. We could certainly change the tactics. Put	
5 that aside for a moment. With the existing	
6 tactics, doesn't it show that they're frank	ly
7 not working?	
8 A. I don't agree.	
9 Q. Okay. Fair enough.	
10 And the increased tactics would cost	
11 more money; correct?	
12 A. Yeah, I totally agree that it costs more to	)
13 try to attract the customer who is not	
14 wanting to be on your system all these year	S
15 than one who's brand new and coming onto yo	ur
16 system that is in what I would call a "virg	in
17 territory." Because you tend to pick up	
18 groups of people, right, versus individuall	y.
19 And so individually, it costs more than wit	h
20 groups.	
21 Q. But isn't that better for all customers if	
we're picking up more of them more cheaply?	
23 A. I think it should be both is my point.	
24 Q. Fair enough.	

1 MR. SHEEHAN: I have no further 2 questions. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner 3 Bailey. 4 INTERROGATORIES BY COMMISSIONERS: 5 BY COMMISSIONER BAILEY: 6 7 Back to the active corrosion. I'm glad that 0. 8 you explained that term. Do you think that the remaining CIBS pipes are in active 9 corrosion, or close to it? 10 11 I haven't seen anything that indicates Α. Yes. that it's not. 12 Okay. So, then, why would we think about 13 Q. 14 stopping the program just because the leak curve -- I'm not articulating the question 15 16 well -- just because the reduction in leaks 17 isn't as great as it was in the beginning? I'm just saying that's one of the things we 18 Α. need to look at. I'm saying we should 19 20 explore the possibility. We're not saying we 21 want to -- that we're going to terminate it. 22 But I think we need to look at the data that brought us here and the data that's causing 23 you to examine the candidates. And so I 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

think that, in my opinion, that for 10 years 1 2 of doing these samples, we've seen it. It's not only -- you know, to me, if you have a 3 hundred percent wall loss, that's -- I don't 4 5 know how the Company can say it's not active corrosion on that pipe. 6 It doesn't get any 7 clearer to me than that. The question becomes how prevalent is that active 8 corrosion. And it looks to me like, after 10 9 years at different locations all around, I 10 11 think you could summarize that we have it generally within their distribution systems. 12 And so wherever those concentrations of bare 13 14 steel are, it seems like we haven't found any 15 that, hey, we're digging up 1920 pipe and 16 it's in nice, pristine condition. So what I don't understand is it sounds like 17 0. the bare steel, the cast iron/bare steel pipe 18 needs to be replaced. And if you think that 19 20 it's in active corrosion, that it needs to be 21 replaced as soon as possible pursuant to the 22 code, so --23 I think without a CIBS program they would Α. have to do it, and with a CIBS program they 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1	would have to do it.			
2	Q.	All right. That's what I was trying to		
3	understand.			
4		I also am having a hard time		
5		understanding the argument against the		
6		well, your position that they should continue		
7		or even increase the marketing to the		
8		non-customers along these routes, especially		
9		given this table that we looked at a minute		
10		ago, that you looked at with Mr. Sheehan,		
11		sort of looking at the same thing. You know,		
12		15 out of 47 is a third; 16 out of 154 is		
13		like 10 percent. So it doesn't show that		
14		this marketing got		
15	А.	So, 10 percent is very good when it comes to		
16		marketing. Typically your responses are 1 to		
17		2 percent.		
18	Q.	Right. But if they didn't have that		
19		marketing, would they have that 10 percent		
20		anyway?		
21	А.	All I can say is when they weren't doing		
22		that, we were getting zeros and ones.		
23	Q.	Except for in 2015, it was 30 percent.		
24	Α.	Yeah.		
L	{[	OG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}		

1 Q. Was that just a lucky year?

	~				
2	A. Could be. I don't know because I don't				
3	I'm not embedded in the Company, so I don't				
4	know exactly what they did. I could go back				
5	and see if we even requested it.				
6		The whole idea of putting some focus on			
7		it came about when, you know, they weren't			
8		even attempting to pick up customers, and all			
9		they were doing is, you know, I'm going by			
10		your house and I put a door hanger. Most			
11		people cannot make a capital investment that			
12	quickly. It takes time to get quotes. It				
13	takes time to plan something like that to				
14		make a decision. And so the Company knows			
15		what the candidates are. So they can tell			
16		the customers you know, they may not say,			
17		well, it's going to be this year, but they			
18		could let them know two years from now or			
19		three years from now that we have it on our			
20		list of things to do, and that lets people			
21		warm up to making that big cost conversion,			
22		because cost conversions are expensive.			
23	Q.	So I think I understand now that the door			
24		hangers don't do any good because it's too			

But if they did a generic, hey, we're 1 late. 2 going to be replacing the main in your neighborhood in the next one to two years, 3 and they sent it to everybody rather than try 4 5 to target the people that were non-customers, would it be a little less expensive for the 6 7 Company and provide the same results? So as part of this program, right, they have 8 Α. to tell us what the service is and who they 9 serve, right. And so they're doing that 10 11 research internally in the Company: This customer has a bare steel service, this 12 customer has a plastic service, this customer 13 has a coated steel service, this customer 14 doesn't have a service. 15 16 They tell you that for each customer each Q. 17 year? It's part of the program as to how many 18 Α. 19 services are there, yes. 20 So my point is they kind of already know 21 what the universe is. The only thing that 22 they're not knowing is the exact dates of 23 when they're going to start and finish. See, I heard the testimony a little bit 24 Q. {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1 differently than that, that they don't know, or it costs a lot of money to figure out 2 which customers are not served. 3 Did I misunderstand that, do you think? 4 5 I'm saying within the Company there's people Α. putting those elements together. 6 That's how 7 they're able to fill out this Bates Pages 43 and 44 of their testimony, and that's how 8 they're able to report to us the services 9 10 they have. So they have to do that 11 preliminary background work anyways. So, what don't you have. So if you have 23, then 12 25, 27, then 32, and there's a building or 13 14 structure there, that would be a candidate. 15 That would be a potential candidate to really 16 go after and try to see if you can convince 17 them that we have a once in a lifetime opportunity to come by and to feed you, 18 because there'll probably be moratoriums put 19 20 on later by the city, so here's a good chance 21 to take advantage of it. 22 Okay. Thank you. ο. 23 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Commissioner 24 Giaimo.

1 BY COMMISSIONER GIAIMO:

Good afternoon. So what I heard was after 10 2 0. years you think it's appropriate to 3 re-examine the program. So that makes sense. 4 But I just want to clarify. 5 Is the Safety Division recommending suspending the program 6 7 and then evaluating it or doing it concurrently -- by that I mean continue the 8 program and then while you're examining? 9 10 So, this hearing is about fiscal year 2018, Α. 11 which is the reconciliation of '17. And they're currently doing '19 right now, fiscal 12 year 2019. So we'll have that hearing next 13 14 year about the same period of time. And so what we've said is, before you start to just 15 16 roll out fiscal year 2020, let's take a look. Let's take a breather before you go too far 17 and see is there any changes that we need to 18 Do we need to suspend it? 19 make. Does it 20 make sense? I just think running it to the 21 end into the ground doesn't -- I think now's 22 a good time. And so as you're getting down 23 into the amount of pipe that's left to replace, we think that now's the time to have 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

1

that discussion.

So we would have the discussion, 2 assuming sometime in the fall. We haven't 3 picked a date. We invite the OCA and whoever 4 else wanted to participate and explore it and 5 talk about it. You know, that's really what 6 7 we have to do. But you have to -- I think we have to have a -- we have to let them know 8 that that's kind of in the back of our minds 9 a little bit to talk about it and then kind 10 11 of find out is there any super compelling reasons other than getting accelerated 12 recovery. To me, one of the constraints is, 13 14 you know, they've already committed by saying 15 they want to get it down by 2024. So I think 16 that should be number one if that commitment 17 is still there, or is it a commitment only if we have the CIBS program. 18 There was a lot in there. 19 Q. I quess I'm 20 struggling because you said we want to take a 21 breather, but then we want to re-examine. 22 So, a "breather" to me connotes suspending. 23 Well, that may be -- we may take a year and Α. suspend it and catch up on these extra paving 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

costs, and that's all that gets done. And then the next year you're hitting it hard and there's no constraints of trying to get that. That might be an outcome. It might be, hey, trying to recover all these costs in a single year probably is difficult because they're not incurring it in just one season. Maybe we need to change and lengthen it. Maybe we don't need the program at all if the Company is filing rate cases at a frequency that that lag time isn't even there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

24

So, these are all things that have 12 changed over 10 years. And I think it's just 13 14 kind of go back and say -- and not only that, 15 the Company, we've had three predecessors, 16 and the staff has changed and we've changed. 17 I just think it's time to take a good look and say why are we doing this and exactly 18 what is the -- should we continue it. And we 19 20 may come back and say, no, we need to continue it, maybe make a minor adjustment 21 22 here or there, or not. 23 So, on Bates 26 you have -- on Lines 9 to 12, Q.

you actually discuss the merits of

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

accelerating and having the program 1 accelerated between now and 2024. 2 (Witness reviews document.) 3 So I'm sorry. Lines 9 through --4 Α. Through 12. You talk a little bit about the 5 Q. values, but particularly to the ratepayer 6 savings associated with accelerating the 7 8 program. Yeah. So, I mean, one of the things about 9 Α. 10 not doing something and putting things off to 11 the future is the costs tend to be more, right, that the costs -- if you extend this 12 and they do it over in five years, is it 13 better to do -- is it cheaper now; right? 14 So 15 that's one of the things you have to kind of 16 consider: What's their cost structure going 17 to be in five years? Don't really know. So far, this is the first year we've kind of 18 seen an uptick in costs. Some of it's due to 19 20 degradation fees which we weren't having 21 before. Some of it's due to we're getting 22 into the difficult sections. I think in 23 their testimony they talked about, well, we're hitting more asbestos, and we're 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

hitting some of these more expensive things. 1 We're getting the larger pipes, 10-, 12-, 16-2 inch pipes, very much more expensive to do. 3 Even though they have a small inventory of 4 them, those are the kind of things that I 5 think you have to consider. 6 7 Thank you very much. 0. BY COMMISSIONER HONIGBERG: 8 Mr. Knepper, I think the last time, or maybe 9 Q. 10 it was the last time before that, when we 11 talked about the degradation fees, I understood the situation to be that the 12 13 Company doesn't lose the ability to recover those costs; they just can't do it in this 14 15 accelerated program. Do I have that right? 16 Yeah, I think anything that they don't get in Α. the CIBS is eligible for cost recovery in a 17 But it's subject to anything 18 rate case. 19 else, prudency, look and review and all those 20 things. 21 Q. With respect to maybe spending the next year 22 before the next hearing doing a deeper dive 23 into this program, would that be a more appropriate time to discuss changes in 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

marketing than doing it in this relatively 1 short time frame that we have to consider it 2 now? 3 I think that would be one of the areas we'd 4 Α. want to look at. The original CIBS program, 5 we didn't even outline anything about 6 7 marketing. That wasn't even one of the things. That's one of the things that we've 8 kind of grown in the 10 years about that 9 subject. So, yes. 10 All right. I didn't have any other 11 Q. 12 questions. 13 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan --14 I'm sorry. Ms. Fabrizio, do you have any 15 further questions for Mr. Knepper? 16 MS. FABRIZIO: Just one wrap-up 17 question based on the questioning we just heard. 18 19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. FABRIZIO: 20 21 ο. Mr. Knepper, would you be comfortable with 22 the Company recovering its costs for main and 23 service replacements through regular rate cases in the future without the acceleration 24

of recovery that the CIBS program provides? 1 Well, we've done it both ways, right. 2 Α. We've done it with Northern Utilities where it was 3 just through rate cases. So we didn't --4 there was a lot less. We didn't have a 5 hearing every year. There's a lot less 6 7 administrative by both the Company and ourselves. And they just -- we didn't pick 8 the rate. We let them pick the rate. 9 They 10 just committed to a certain date. That is 11 one way, and it was successful. But prior to that, they did have an accelerated 12 replacement program similar to a CIBS, I 13 14 guess, without all the reporting for 10 15 years, and then that stopped. And so it's 16 been done both ways. And are you comfortable with Liberty going 17 Q. forward using the regular rate case forum to 18 recover --19 20 I'm not opposed --Α. 21 (Court Reporter interrupts.) 22 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: I think the 23 problem is getting the end of the question. BY MS. FABRIZIO: 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

Are you comfortable with Liberty going 1 Q. 2 forward using the regular rate case forum to recover its cost for replacing the remaining 3 cast iron/bare steel pipes in its system? 4 5 Yeah, I'm not necessarily opposed to that way Α. of recovering. Again, I'm back to the focus 6 7 should be on the replacement itself, and then 8 the recovery should be secondary aspect of it, not the primary. We quickly jumped to 9 that being the primary discussion every time, 10 11 and I believe it should be the secondary, as 12 to come to an agreement when you want to expect something out of the ground, when 13 14 it'll be totally done, and then we can figure 15 out the most appropriate way to do the rates. 16 Thank you. Q. 17 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. Τ think, Mr. Knepper, you can probably return 18 19 to your seat. 20 There are no other witnesses; 21 correct? 22 [No verbal response] 23 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Any other business before we do the wrap-up portion? 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

[No verbal response] 1 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: All right. 2 Without objection, we'll strike I.D. on 3 Exhibits 1 through 4. And if there's nothing 4 else, we'll let the parties sum up. 5 Mr. Buckley, why don't you start us 6 7 off. 8 CLOSING STATEMENTS BY PARTIES MR. BUCKLEY: Thank you, Mr. 9 Chairman. 10 11 The OCA is generally supportive of the Company's filing, subject to the caveat that 12 we agree with Staff on several of their 13 14 recommendations concerning recovery of excess 15 carryover costs, continued auditing of the 16 program on an annual basis, and further 17 examination of the existing CIBS program this fall to determine how that mechanism may 18 19 continue to provide the best use of ratepayer dollars into the future. 20 21 We are sympathetic to Liberty's 22 recommendation regarding marketing to CIBS 23 customers as presented in their testimony, if for no other reason that it's not every day 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

that something, or more specifically, Liberty 1 Utilities, comes to the Commission and asks 2 us to spend less money expanding their 3 customer base and associated rate base. The 4 fact that this is occurring here indicates to 5 the OCA that this request deserves deference 6 7 to the business judgment of the local 8 distribution company itself. However, we do 9 think that the compromised approach suggested just a few moments ago by the Chairman could 10 11 be a useful compromise on the question of 12 targeted marketing. Thank you. CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Ms. Fabrizio. 13 14 MS. FABRIZIO: Thank you. Staff 15 requests approval of the Company's petition 16 for recovery of 2018 cast iron/bare steel 17 replacement program costs in this docket, based on the Company's revised petition 18 19 filings, as well as based on the corrections 20 noted in today's hearings. Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Mr. Sheehan, 22 before you start, I just want to say I think 23 you've heard sympathy toward how much it costs to market to the customers along the 24 {DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

CIBS routes. But I think there's a 1 2 discomfort, and you heard it from Mr. Knepper, and I think we feel it, that 3 there's -- it's not an apt comparison to 4 5 compare those expenses with expenses in new That's not a persuasive way to 6 territory. 7 look at that. You may have a good case here 8 that this is just too expensive when you think about other things you could be doing 9 10 with that money. But I want you to think 11 about that as you are summing up and see if you have any thoughts on those topics. 12 Clearly you've been thinking about it and you 13 14 heard the question, so I wanted to make sure 15 you address it in your closing. 16 MR. SHEEHAN: Sure. I'll address 17 it right off. The reason we raised it so specifically here is that the people most 18 involved in the marketing just don't see it 19 20 as a useful effort. They spend a lot of 21 time -- it's mostly one woman, Emily, who 22 spends a lot of time doing the research, and

23

24

are very -- each are unique. I mean, you

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

the results are as you see. CIBS projects

know Concord. Up in the neighborhood where 1 2 I've lived the last couple years, that's a very different neighborhood than the south 3 end, which is a very different neighborhood 4 5 from a low-income neighborhood in Nashua. So these marketing efforts, again, was a great 6 7 idea to push to see what would come of it. We're just not seeing it. And I think it is 8 a fair comparison to other projects, in the 9 sense that if we have a thousand dollars to 10 11 spend on marketing and we can get one CIBS customer or 15 non-CIBS customers, it seems 12 wiser to spend the thousand dollars 13 14 elsewhere. There isn't an unlimited pool of 15 marketing dollars. That being said, we're 16 not proposing here to abandon marketing to 17 CIBS customers. They get the regular 18 mailings. These door hangers aren't the week 19 before we start. They're long before we 20 I'm not sure when, but months, at a start. 21 minimum. 22 The 100-foot line extension policy 23 we have now allowing it to be free is easier. Customers don't have to replace their heating 24

They just have to hook up a gas 1 system. 2 range or hot water heater to become a So all these things help. customer. 3 The amount of work that goes into the marketing, 4 5 the tracking, everything that we do as part of this spreadsheet all costs money. 6 It's 7 all time spent that isn't spent elsewhere. So it really is, as Mr. Buckley 8 said, a unique situation where we're coming 9 here and saying let us spend less money on a 10 11 project because we don't think it's working very well. It's really hard to compare them 12 year to year because they're so different. 13 There's no "Aha, we're now getting lots of 14 15 customers we weren't getting before." So 16 that's why we raise it and are discussing it. 17 In the grand scheme of things, this is not a huge issue, obviously, but we found it was 18 worthy of this discussion, as it has been the 19 20 last couple years. 21 I do want to repeat again, the 22 letter we got from Staff last week asking to 23 have this deep dive is fine with us. Obviously, our inclination is to keep the 24

{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

program going roughly as it is. There are a 1 lot of good things that flow out of the 2 incentive. I think Mr. Kreis has a great 3 expression for utilities motivated by 4 whatever his phrase is, "Show me the cheese," 5 or something like that. You know, we have a 6 7 company that, a parent that invests money, and those investment dollars have lots of 8 takers. And an incentive to keep investing 9 those dollars aggressively in CIBS is a real 10 11 incentive because of the yearly recovery. As Mr. Knepper said, maybe a lot of our pipe is 12 subject to the rule that requires prompt 13 14 replacement. And this is a good way to get 15 it done, to make sure it gets done with crews 16 that we have.

17 Then the concept of suspending for a year or so raises many risks for us. 18 We may lose the crews we have. We don't hire 19 20 them for a summer, they go elsewhere. It is 21 very difficult to keep the contractors we 22 There's a lot of competition. have. As we 23 just heard, in Boston there are thousands of leaks, and a lot of our contractors would 24

pick up and go down there if they had work down there that they could do for a different utility. We are competing hard for these contractors, and if we lose them, we may lose them for good. So there's a lot of moving pieces here that I guess generally militate towards a very slow, careful decision of how we change this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

So I think that's really our 9 message here today, is we will certainly 10 11 talk. There's always improvements. A lot of 12 what you see here are improvements suggested by Mr. Knepper and Staff over the years. 13 And 14 we appreciate those, and we will continue the conversation we have. 15

16 There has been no real challenge to 17 the request here that we're making for the 18 last year's program. We ask that you approve 19 it. We did raise the carryover costs just to 20 show the numbers out there. We made the 21 request. Mr. Knepper has the right not to 22 approve -- recommend it under the settlement 23 agreement, and we respect that. So, thank 24 you.

CHAIRMAN HONIGBERG: Thank you, Mr. Thank you for your thoughts on Sheehan. that. And thank your witnesses for the thought they put into this as well. All right. We will take the matter under advisement and issue an order as quickly as we can. And we are adjourned. (Hearing concluded at 4:33 p.m.) 

1	CERTIFICATE
2	I, Susan J. Robidas, a Licensed
3	Shorthand Court Reporter and Notary Public
4	of the State of New Hampshire, do hereby
5	certify that the foregoing is a true and
6	accurate transcript of my stenographic
7	notes of these proceedings taken at the
8	place and on the date hereinbefore set
9	forth, to the best of my skill and ability
10	under the conditions present at the time.
11	I further certify that I am neither
12	attorney or counsel for, nor related to or
13	employed by any of the parties to the
14	action; and further, that I am not a
15	relative or employee of any attorney or
16	counsel employed in this case, nor am I
17	financially interested in this action.
18	
19	Susan J. Robidas, LCR/RPR
20	Licensed Shorthand Court Reporter Registered Professional Reporter
21	N.H. LCR No. 44 (RSA 310-A:173)
22	
23	
24	
ļ	{DG 18-064} [HEARING ON THE MERITS] {06-25-18}

## DG 18-064 LIBERTY UTILITIES, ET AL RE: 2018 CAST IRON/BARE STEEL REPLACEMENT PROGRAM

Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

	accompanying (1)	AF (4)	21;52:3,5,17,24;57:5;	62:21
\$	61:15	40:6,6;41:20;42:3	62:6;81:18;87:8;	approving (1)
Φ	according (1)	Affairs (2)	100:24	61:18
1 000 ( <b>3</b> )	26:5	8:5;9:1	alternative (1)	approximately (3)
\$1,000 (2)	accounted (1)	afternoon (7)	38:11	38:13;55:8;65:16
15:20;72:7	40:20	4:3,10,13,19;8:13;	alternatives (1)	April (6)
\$1,402,570 (1)	accrual (2)	49:23;91:2	54:14	28:11,20;35:3,6;
27:24	44:23;46:6	again (11)	always (2)	43:19;62:2
\$3.26 (1)	accurate (5)	21:5;22:13;31:23;	67:19;105:11	apt (1)
10:23				
\$3.53 (1)	15:18;17:24;18:14;	35:21;44:24;45:9;	ambient (1)	101:4
11:19	21:10;53:16	83:5,10;98:6;102:6;	33:16	area (1)
\$33 (1)	acquisition (3)	103:21	amongst (1)	66:24
72:9	15:19;52:2,5	against (2)	14:1	areas (6)
\$579,887 (1)	acronym (2)	65:3;87:5	amount (7)	16:5,21;17:12;
63:3	17:7,8	age (2)	28:22;53:20;62:22;	52:8,8;96:4
\$63,763 (1)	across (1)	23:24;24:6	73:9,10;91:23;103:4	arguing (1)
11:18	67:5	aged (1)	amounts (1)	83:21
\$700 (1)	active (18)	66:12	45:14	argument (1)
56:16	21:19;22:1,4,19;	aggressive (1)	analysis (3)	87:5
	23:5;75:23,24;76:3,4,	65:21	48:13;50:14,18	Armour (1)
\$735,802 (2)	6,10,19,22;85:7,9;	aggressively (1)	analyst (3)	41:20
62:21;63:3	86:5,8,20	104:10	5:1;8:4;59:18	army (1)
\$750 (2)	Actual (2)	aging (1)	annual (2)	76:15
15:20;72:7	26:18;28:7	65:1	81:2;99:16	around (7)
\$762,488 (1)				21:7;52:11;67:12,
10:21	actually (8)	ago (4)	annually (2)	
\$787 (1)	19:14;30:15,19;	69:19;81:6;87:10;	10:23;11:20	12;72:7;76:20;86:10
15:14	36:2;51:11,18;80:12;	100:10	answered (2)	articulating (1)
\$800 (1)	93:24	agree (14)	56:4;72:22	85:15
56:16	add (1)	20:14,22;23:11;	Anthony (1)	asbestos (1)
	- 55:14	63:10;71:5;77:9;	4:24	94:24
[	added (3)	78:19,24;80:3,15;	anyways (1)	aside (1)
L	- 10:6;52:24;53:1	81:14;84:8,12;99:13	90:11	84:5
[No (3)	addition (1)	agreement (5)	apologies (1)	aspect (1)
	68:22	9:16;38:15;39:3;	44:7	98:8
5:22;98:22;99:1	additional (2)	98:12;105:23	appear (4)	asphalt (2)
[sic] (1)	14:24;48:2	Aha (1)	22:17,19;24:2;	33:9,17
64:24	- address (2)	103:14	26:15	assessment (1)
	101:15,16	air (5)		18:1
Α			appearances (1) 4:9	
	- adds (1)	29:10,12;32:2,13;		assist (2)
abandon (1)	27:10	66:19	appears (3)	8:9,9
102:16	adequately (1)	Alexander (1)	27:6;35:22;83:22	associated (10)
ability (1)	17:13	4:22	applicable (2)	14:12;17:17,19;
95:13	adjourned (1)	align (1)	29:21,23	27:24;46:10;50:2;
able (9)	106:7	50:11	applies (4)	61:19;66:1;94:7;
12:15;18:18;31:20;	adjust (1)	aligning (1)	30:2;37:3;39:16;	100:4
	80:16	50:13	57:19	assuming (2)
37:23;42:19;48:24;	adjustment (2)	allow (7)	apply (8)	32:12;92:3
79:14;90:7,9	69:7;93:21	33:9,18;35:10,12,	29:9,12;34:1,8;	attached (3)
above (9)	administrative (1)	15,20;76:17	46:22;57:23;67:23;	25:6;42:23;77:4
26:8;28:1,14;32:3,	97:7	allowable (1)	77:22	Attachment (10)
11,19,21;33:2;63:3	admit (1)	28:2	appreciate (1)	19:9,11;21:4;
accelerated (4)			••	
92:12;94:2;95:15;	60:1	allowed (3)	105:14	22:14;25:4;42:16,23;
97:12	adopt (5)	29:4;35:2;81:2	approach (2)	43:5,8;44:10
accelerating (2)	7:3,23;8:19;30:13;	allowing (2)	70:6;100:9	attachments (5)
94:1,7	61:3	81:1;102:23	appropriate (7)	59:12,14,24;60:7;
acceleration (1)	advantage (1)	allows (2)	23:13,17;54:6;	61:6
96:24	90:21	38:11;62:20	75:9;91:3;95:24;	attain (1)
accept (3)	advertising (1)	alone (1)	98:15	71:4
	56:14	78:6	approval (1)	attempting (1)
32:18,20;33:6	advisement (1)	along (21)	100:15	88:8
accepted (1)	106:6	10:2;14:20;15:4;	approve (3)	attention (1)
30:11	Advocate (2)	16:12,16,18;17:18;	63:6;105:18,22	8:13
accessible (1)	4:16;72:5	34:7;47:14,24;48:7,	approves (1)	attorney (4)
52:14				

Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

RE: 2018 CAST IRON/	BARE STEEL REPLAC	CEMENT PROGRAM		June 25, 2018
4:15;12:8;53:6;	74:18	89:24;92:10;94:5	34:17,20	45:6,20
4.15,12.8,55.0, 82:20	basically (4)	bituminous (1)	call (4)	CATHERINE (1)
attract (1)	16:8;36:23;38:5;	31:24	35:23;61:21;69:17;	6:1
84:13	57:3	BL (2)	84:16	causing (2)
audit (1)	basis (3)	25:20;26:8	called (2)	78:2;85:23
62:12	33:21;75:1;99:16	blank (1)	51:17;81:12	cautioned (2)
auditing (1)	batch (2)	40:8	came (3)	6:3;58:17
99:15	74:12,13	blend (1)	26:1;38:14;88:7	caveat (1)
August (4)	Bates (49)	71:14	can (52)	99:12
36:22;37:17,17;	5:9,11;10:5;12:16;	blue (1)	5:16;6:8;7:14;	cease (1)
63:24	14:10,15;15:9,23;	25:21	10:16;12:2;14:5,14;	57:2
authorized (1)	19:10,24;20:9,18;	board (1)	16:7,21;17:13;22:6;	cell (1)
35:4	21:4,16,16;22:13;	37:9	24:8,10,18;27:1,8;	25:22
available (1)	24:17;26:22;27:15;	Book (1)	28:4;35:8;36:8,21;	cents (1)
52:14	28:24;29:1;31:22,23;	5:8	40:16;42:17,22;43:3;	11:20
Avenue (1)	34:24;36:13;38:7;	Boston (3)	45:13;46:15,21;	certain (7)
41:7	40:5;42:14,17;44:4,8,	66:23,24;104:23	52:22;53:21;60:4,15;	18:13;34:1,9;74:2;
average (3)	9;46:12,15;49:3,8,23;	both (7)	66:20;68:4;70:15;	76:17;78:20;97:10
10:18;23:24;24:5	50:4,10;51:7;60:11,	18:18;64:5;79:6;	71:7,22;77:22;78:18;	certainly (3)
aware (6)	12;62:18;64:23;68:1,	84:23;97:2,7,16	79:6,11;80:16,19;	43:4;84:4;105:10
28:15;37:22;50:17;	6;82:1;90:7;93:23	bottom (6)	82:23;84:2;86:5;	CHAIRMAN (42)
66:15;74:9,18	become (2)	15:9;16:1;19:12,	87:21;88:15;90:16;	4:2,14;5:3,19,23;
AY (1)	56:19;103:2	15;25:21;54:20	98:14,18;102:11;	6:5;12:4,8;18:5,8,10;
26:16	becomes (2)	box (2)	106:7	31:7;36:7;47:7;
	77:24;86:8	19:14;21:6	candidate (3)	49:20;54:17;56:5;
В	beginning (5)	brand (2)	75:7;90:14,15	58:6,9,14,19,21;60:2,
	26:11;37:7,24;	71:8;84:15	candidates (4)	16,20;61:4,9;64:17;
back (21)	70:6;85:17	break (3)	67:6;75:5;85:24;	72:14;84:1;85:3;
17:21;19:4;21:3;	begins (1)	12:21;58:10;65:3	88:15	90:23;96:13;97:22;
22:12;28:23;40:4;	27:16	breather (3)	capital (2)	98:17,23;99:2,10;
46:12;51:18;57:3;	behalf (2)	91:17;92:21,22	47:2;88:11	100:10,13,21;106:1
58:9,11;68:10;71:17;	4:20;83:23	Brian (2)	careful (1)	challenge (1)
75:10;76:18;85:7;	believes (1)	4:14;6:2	105:7	105:16
88:4;92:9;93:14,20;	54:6	Brief (4)	carried (2)	chance (3)
98:6	below (1)	58:12;61:12;64:20;	24:23;25:2	18:23;72:2;90:20
background (1)	30:9	77:8 briefly (3)	carryover (20)	change (10)
90:11 backlag (1)	<b>benefit (1)</b> 11:24	•	11:3,6,14;26:4; 27:11;28:1,2;41:3,8,	9:21;10:10,13;
backlog (1)	benefits (1)	68:4;70:15;81:4	12,22;42:5,10;62:16;	45:4;46:9;70:23; 83:16;84:4;93:8;
67:8		<b>bring (1)</b> 8:12		105:8
<b>bad (3)</b> 73:12,16;75:22	13:15 best (3)	brought (1)	63:2;64:4,9;77:7; 99:15;105:19	changed (5)
Bailey (6)	38:23;57:16;99:19	85:23	cars (1)	9:19;45:24;93:13,
47:8,10;49:19;	better (4)	BUCKLEY (13)	67:11	16,16
51:1;85:4,6	74:22;75:15;84:21;	4:13,15;12:6,7,13;	casbuilt (4)	changes (8)
balance (1)	94:14	18:3;64:17,19,22;	40:10,16,18;41:4	7:1,20;8:12;9:6,12;
64:24	beyond (1)	72:18;99:6,9;103:8	casbuilts (1)	43:20;91:18;95:24
ball (1)	28:15	building (1)	40:9	characterize (2)
75:6	BG (3)	90:13	case (13)	15:12;48:20
bare (18)	41:8,21;42:4	bulk (1)	9:17,18;10:12;	characterized (1)
19:19;22:14;23:2,	big (2)	65:15	28:8,18;45:16;57:23;	24:10
11,18;24:9;50:2;	21:6;88:21	business (4)	73:14;82:18;95:18;	charge (1)
61:20;69:4;75:12,13;	bigger (4)	71:11,12;98:24;	97:18;98:2;101:7	77:22
76:24;77:1,2,3;86:13,	25:8,9,9;81:7	100:7	cases (3)	charges (1)
18;89:12	bill (3)		93:10;96:24;97:4	13:8
base (3)	10:19,22;11:19	С	Cast (12)	chart (8)
82:10;100:4,4	billing (1)		4:5;49:12,13;	14:15;15:9,23;
based (15)	12:10	calculating (1)	61:20;69:15;74:10;	16:2;37:2;50:4;51:7;
16:22;22:1;33:13,	billion (1)	13:16	76:23;77:4,5;86:18;	81:23
15;41:13;43:24;45:7,	67:17	calculation (2)	98:4;100:16	charts (1)
11;50:21;54:5;66:14;	bills (1)	9:14;11:11	catch (1)	14:11
80:12;96:17;100:18,	13:9	calendar (4)	92:24	cheaper (1)
19	bit (6)	33:22;34:2,9;38:8	category (5)	94:14
basic (1)	13:16;52:19;62:8;	calendar-year (2)	13:21;14:6;44:18;	cheaply (1)

84:22 check (3) 44:21:45:2:46:8 checker (1) 37:9 cheese (1) 104:5 chunk (1) 80:13 **CIBS (57)** 14:14,18,20;15:4, 15:16:13:17:3.18; 22:17;48:7,22;50:11; 52:3,8,18,24:53:3,13, 21;55:11,12;56:15; 57:2,5;59:15,19; 61:22;64:11;65:13, 15;66:18;68:2,8; 69:22;70:5,13;80:13, 23,24;81:8,17,18; 85:9;86:23,24;92:18; 95:17:96:5:97:1.13: 99:17,22;101:1,23; 102:11,17;104:10 cite (1) 29:2 cities (12) 29:17,24;30:6,13; 31:3;33:14;34:3; 35:10,24;63:16; 67:12:77:10 city (22) 29:2,14:31:14,14, 14.21:32:8.17:33:5: 35:1,4;37:5;38:4,10, 15;39:7,15,17;77:18; 78:10,14:90:20 city's (1) 39:4 claims (2) 38:18,22 clarify (2) 36:8;91:5 clear (2) 29:17;57:18 clearer (1) 86:7 clearly (3) 23:4;42:23;101:13 close (3) 18:12;80:24;85:10 closed (1) 40:13 closer (3) 27:15;72:9;74:12 CLOSING (2) 99:8;101:15 CMSR (1) 49:19 coated (1) 89:14 co-counsel (1)

code(2)76:6:86:22 cold(2)35:14.19 collaborative (1) 70:6 collect (1) 27:8 collected (4) 20:2,7,11:24:5 Column (13) 10:6:19:12,13,16; 25:20,22;26:16;40:6, 6,7;41:8,20;42:3 columns (1) 40:22 combined (1) 25:15 comfortable (3) 96:21;97:17;98:1 coming (3) 67:20;84:15;103:9 comment (3) 6:7;32:16;83:13 comments (1) 75:10 **Commission (9)** 4:21;10:16;11:13; 43:18;44:17;59:2,20; 68:24:100:2 **Commissioner** (13) 25:14:47:7.10: 49:20,22;51:1;54:16; 81:24:85:3.6:90:23: 91:1:95:8 **Commissioners (5)** 4:11,14,20;47:9; 85:5 **Commission's (6)** 8:13:11:24:28:10, 19;45:8,22 commitment (2) 92:16,17 committed (3) 49:24;92:14;97:10 communication (1) 51:5 comp (5) 40:22;41:13;42:3, 4,7 Company (65) 5:6:7:7:8:2.23: 9:14;11:1,7;12:23; 13:18;14:16,23;15:2; 16:24;17:9;27:8,17, 23;33:3,19;38:12,14, 18,23;39:13;40:21; 43:1;47:20;48:20; 49:24;50:11;52:6,16, 18,22;53:18,22;54:2, 7:57:10:64:12:67:16: 68:17:69:4:73:21; 74:5,9;76:10;79:8,

23;80:21,21;83:23; 86:5:88:3.14:89:7. 11:90:5:93:9.15; 95:13:96:22:97:7: 100:8;104:7 Company's (13) 10:17;13:12;18:2; 38:9,19:43:16:52:1; 53:5;57:1;63:10; 99:12:100:15,18 compare (2) 101:5;103:12 compared (2) 72:7;81:20 comparing (1) 71:3 comparison (4) 71:9;72:12;101:4; 102:9 compelling (1) 92:11 competing (2) 80:20;105:3 competition (1) 104:22 complete (7) 5:16;14:17;33:19; 37:23;38:24;48:9; 79:11 completed (11) 37:20:40:15:41:14; 42:7:63:21.23:64:3. 8;77:12;78:20;79:1 completely (1) 37:20 completing (1) 50:1 completion (1) 79:2 compromise (1) 100:11 compromised (1) 100:9 comps (2) 40:9;41:21 concentrations (1) 86:13 concept (1) 104:17 conceptual (1) 69:20 conceptually (3) 65:14:66:9:73:24 concern (2) 72:5;79:22 concerning (1) 99:14 concluded (1) 106:8 concludes (1) 47:5 conclusively (1) 32:15

Concord (14) 29:18,21;30:1; 31:3,14:35:1:36:1,2; 38:11.15:39:11:41:5. 19:102:1 Concord's (1) 33:12 concurrently (1) 91:8 condition (5) 22:8,22;24:9;65:2; 86:16 conditions (2) 23:7:31:13 confer (2) 42:9;53:17 confidential (1) 5:18 confined (1) 67:10 confirm (1) 27:2 conjunction (1) 50:12 connect (1) 52:12 connotes (1) 92:22 consider (6) 75:24;76:3;78:3; 94:16:95:6:96:2 considered (1) 68:12 consists (1) 5:9 constraint (3) 78:16;79:9;80:4 constraints (6) 77:17,18,19;79:8; 92:13:93:3 construction (8) 6:18:31:13:32:24: 33:6;35:5,10;37:24; 65:20 Consumer (2) 4:16;72:5 contact (1) 33:5 continually (1) 53:20 continue (13) 16:12;35:10;54:9; 61:24;62:2;71:21; 84:2;87:6;91:8; 93:19,21;99:19; 105:14 continued (3) 69:4;70:16;99:15 continues (1) 36:23 continuing (1) 27:14 contractor (1)

#### Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

13:8 contractors (4) 79:23;104:21,24; 105:4contractor's (1) 13:9 conversation (1) 105:15 conversion (4) 15:11:17:11:82:14; 88:21 conversions (7) 14:13;16:3;17:2, 23:62:6;82:3;88:22 convert (1) 48:24 converted (3) 49:3;51:3;54:22 convince (1) 90:16 copies (2) 12:1:31:2 copy (4) 18:22,24;30:22; 43:4 corner (2) 25:12,13 Corp's (1) 4:5 corrected (1) 61:2 correction (1) 42:21 corrections (6) 21:12:59:22:60:6, 8.9:100:19 corrective (1) 60:21 correctly (3) 21:7:26:6:48:5 corresponding (5) 41:8,21;42:4; 65:24;66:14 corrosion (22) 21:19,22;22:1,4,10, 20,24;23:5;75:13,24; 76:1,3,5,6,10,19,22; 85:7,10;86:6,9,20 **cost** (24) 13:22;14:16;16:1; 17:24;26:18,20; 27:11:28:2:38:13.18: 41:22;48:12;50:7,9; 52:2,4;55:15;73:10; 84:10;88:21,22; 94:16;95:17;98:3 cost-effective (1) 74:15 cost-intensive (1) 15:6 costs (64) 7:18:11:3.6.14; 12:19,23;13:1,5,7,11,

4.22

Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

document (13)

BARE STEE
102:12;10 customers ( 14:22,23; 16:12,19; 38:19;47: 48:2,4,14 50:16;51: 5,10,12,17 55:3,12,19 62:6,12;70 14,19,24; 81:17,18; 15;84:21; 90:3;99:2 102:12,17 customer's 10:19 cut-off (1) 35:23 cutting (1) 62:3 cycle (5) 29:5;38:6 39:10,13 I
data (5) 17:19;18: 85:22,23 date (7) 33:22;34: 35:23;61:
97:10 dated (1) 43:2 dates (1) 89:22 DAVID (2) 6:1;8:24 day (5) 29:13;32: 1;99:24 days (2)
a (1) 36:3,5 DBS/CAM- 44:10 DBS/CAM- 10:5 deadlines (1) 78:14 December (1) 43:3,6 decision (3) 71:24;88: declining (2) 66:3,5 deep (1) 103:23 deeper (1) 95:22 Defense (1)

12;103:3	deference (1)
ners (63)	100:6
2,23;15:1,4;	deficiency (1)
2,19;17:1,18;	10:11
9;47:14,15,24;	define (1)
,4,14,15;49:1,4;	72:20
6;51:2,8,9;52:2,	defined (1)
,12,17,23;53:1,3;	72:23
,12,19;57:2,5,13;	defines (1)
,12;70:19;71:4,6,	34:24
9,24;73:7,8,13;	definitely (1)
7,18;83:1,7,12,	70:4
4:21;88:8,16;	definition (1)
;99:23;100:24;	22:4
12,17,24;103:15	degradation (10)
ner's (1)	9:24;13:20,22;
9 (1)	14:1,4;27:7,8,10;
2(1) 3	94:20;95:11
5 g (1)	<b>degrees (10)</b> 22:9;30:12,16,20;
<b>g</b> (1)	32:3,7,12,19,22;33:2
5)	delicate (1)
;38:6,10;	64:24
0,13	deny (1)
0,15	76:19
D	depends (2)
	55:23;79:15
5)	depict (2)
9;18:13;73:20;	21:21,22
2,23	depreciation (12)
') 2 2 4 4 2	44:12,13,16,19,23;
2;34:1,9;	45:4,16,24;46:6,19;
3;61:6;92:4;	57:18,22 derived (1)
0 ( <b>1</b> )	28:5
(1)	describe (3)
1)	7:15,17;14:14
2	describes (1)
D (2)	10:9
3:24	deserves (1)
)	100:6
3;32:10;33:1,	design (1)
:24	13:15 designed (1)
2)	<b>designed (1)</b> 54:11
,5 CAM-1 (1)	designing (1)
0	68:13
CAM-2 (1)	detect (1)
	66:17
nes (1)	detectable (1)
4	66:19
ber (2)	deteriorating (1)
,6 	24:12
n(3)	determine (1)
4;88:14;105:7 ing (2)	99:18 determined (1)
,5	57:14
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	development (2)
23	17:15;71:7
• (1)	DG (9)
2	4:3;28:3;43:19;
se (1)	44:12,13;45:5,5;46:1,
2	10

difference (1) 82:8 different (9) 13:6;47:23;54:20; 67:15:86:10:102:3,4; 103:13;105:2 differently (2) 70:18:90:1 differing (1) 71:15 difficult (4) 71:3:93:6:94:22; 104:21 digging (2) 57:6;86:15 diminishing (4) 65:12;69:21;72:20, 21 **DIRECT (9)** 6:11;12:23;13:4,7, 7,12,21,22;58:22 directly (1) 26:8 **Director** (2) 4:23;59:3 disagreements (1) 64:12 discomfort (1) 101:2 discovery (1) 61:17 discrepancy (1) 27:6 discuss (5) 29:17:54:12:68:1; 93:24;95:24 discussed (3) 64:9,10;77:7 discussing (1) 103:16 discussion (11) 12:19:18:7:51:1; 58:8;68:18;70:5,9; 92:1,2;98:10;103:19 discussions (2) 73:20;74:6 displayed (1) 16:1 distinguish (1) 67:20 distribution (6) 9:17;20:24;28:8, 17;86:12;100:8 dive (2) 95:22;103:23 **Division** (8) 4:24;5:1;19:21; 54:5;62:21;63:6; 65:9;91:6 Docket (10) 4:3;5:8;18:19; 28:3:43:19:44:16; 59:6,16;69:1;100:17

## 19:7;26:2;27:5,19; 29:11:31:19:32:6; 42:18;49:6;51:6; 59:6,11:94:3 dollar (2) 15:11:45:14 dollars (7) 57:19;99:20; 102:10,13,15;104:8, 10 done (25) 36:15;37:15;38:5; 42:8;50:14,15;61:23; 62:13;64:1;68:21; 69:5,8;77:21,23; 78:2;79:21;81:21; 83:19;93:1;97:2,3, 16;98:14;104:15,15 door (9) 16:14:47:12,16,17, 19;83:2;88:10,23; 102:18 **DOT** (1) 30:12 double-check (1) 45:9 doubt (1) 67:22 down (9) 12:21:27:14:68:18: 73:5:82:11:91:22: 92:15:105:1.2 Downing (1) 41:7 drive (1) 67:12 drivers (1) 7:19 dropping (1) 66:7 due (5) 22:24;23:20;66:18; 94:19,21 duly (2) 6:3;58:17 duplicated (1) 26:15 duration (1) 33:8 during (8) 15:15;24:22;29:12; 32:10,24;33:20; 38:16;53:3 Ε earlier (5) 27:11:61:7:63:11;

27:11;61:7;63:11; 74:16;83:14 early (2) 63:21,22 easier (1)

#### Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

102:23 **EDF** (1) 67:4 effect (3) 46:22;57:6;74:17 effective (1) 45:18 effort (5) 48:12;51:24;73:10; 81:7:101:20 efforts (14) 15:3,6,16:16:3; 40:15;47:19;51:22, 24:53:5;71:20;74:1; 83:7,10:102:6 either (3) 24:10;40:8;68:19 elaborate (2) 23:16:63:14 electric (1) 73:7 elements (2) 76:21;90:6 eligible (1) 95:17 eliminate (2) 47:21;48:11 else (4) 4:9;92:5;95:19; 99:5 elsewhere (4) 72:8:102:14:103:7: 104:20 embedded (1) 88:3 Emily (1) 101:21 emphasize (1) 47:1 employed (1) 81:12 end (9) 35:11;60:24;69:9, 10;73:9;74:17;91:21; 97:23;102:4 endeavor (1) 62:7 endeavors (2) 50:11:54:2 ending (1) 26:11 **EnergyNorth (2)** 4:4,12 engage (1) 51:21 engineer (2) 7:8;35:4 engineer's (2) 32:17;33:5 enhanced (1) 71:23 enough (2) 84:9,24

ensure (1) 34:18 entire (2) 24:4:71:18 entirety (2) 37:7,10 entries (1) 40:8 entry (3) 10:4;17:19;40:10 **Environmental** (1) 66:22 equation (2) 77:24;78:17 equipment (2) 70:24;71:8 equivalent (1) 56:15 especially (2) 64:2;87:8 Essentially (1) 35:16 estimate (1) 56:2 Estimated (4) 41:8,12,22;42:5 estimating (1) 56:13 evaluating (1) 91:7 even (17) 45:16:52:12:55:4: 71:9.22:75:11:78:9. 10,12;82:11;87:7; 88:5,8;93:11;95:4; 96:6.7 everybody (1) 89:4 evidence (3) 21:18:22:19,24 evident (1) 75:14 exact (4) 28:22;55:22;75:7; 89:22 exactly (5) 13:10;30:8;73:23; 88:4;93:18 **EXAMINATION (6)** 6:11;56:10;58:22; 75:17:96:19:99:17 examine (2) 73:19:85:24 examining (2) 59:19:91:9 example (5) 34:2,11;36:1;41:5; 66:20 examples (1) 24:11 excavation (1) 37:4 Except (1)

87:23 exception (1) 22:21 excess (4) 62:15;63:2;64:4; 99:14 Excuse (1) 17:3 Exhibit (20) 5:12,17;6:22;8:6,7; 9:11;12:17;26:5; 34:23,24:36:14:38:7; 39:9:42:20:59:23: 60:1,18;61:3,10;83:6 exhibits (4) 5:7;9:3;31:6;99:4 existing (2) 84:5;99:17 expanding (1) 100:3 expect (5) 24:8;47:15;71:10; 82:24;98:13 expenses (2) 101:5,5 expensive (6) 75:3;88:22;89:6; 95:1.3:101:8 experience (2) 33:13.15 experienced (3) 22:1:23:5.8 expert (1) 69:23 explain (9) 5:13;9:9;13:3; 16:7:24:19:28:4; 35:8;40:16;70:15 explained (3) 13:14:38:10:85:8 explore (4) 68:14,19;85:20; 92:5 exploring (3) 68:8;70:2;74:8 exponentially (1) 52:4 expound (1) 34:5 express (1) 68:2 expression (1) 104:4 extend (1) 94:12 extending (1) 16:6 extension (5) 38:19;52:5,8;71:6; 102:22 extensions (2) 72:8,11 extensive (1)

51:21 extra (4) 51:21;83:7,10; 92:24 extrapolate (1) 24:8 extremely (1) 48:23 F FABRIZIO (37) 4:19,20;18:8,9,17; 19:1,3,7;25:10,17,19; 31:1,9,11;40:1,3; 43:7,11,13;47:5; 53:6;58:19,20,23; 60:5,19,24;61:8,11; 64:15;83:20;96:14, 16,20;97:24;100:13, 14 fact (4) 42:21;74:5,21; 100:5 factor (2) 55:4;78:3 factors (5) 23:20:74:11.14; 80:18;81:3 fail (1) 66:12 fair (7) 17:23:21:17.23: 23:2;84:9,24;102:9 fall (6) 13:20;32:10;77:12; 78:20:92:3:99:18 familiar (3) 59:5;62:24;63:5 far (4) 21:5;75:10;91:17; 94:18 fast (1) 77:23 fed (1) 20:23 fee (1) 13:22 feed (1) 90:18 feel (4) 23:16;53:18,21; 101:3 feels (2) 12:15;52:6 fees (9) 9:24;13:20;14:1,4; 27:7,8,10;94:20; 95:11 feet (2) 38:3.3 few (7) 11:8;54:19;56:8;

57:9:64:19:73:13; 100:10 fewest (1) 73:11 field (1) 19:19 figure (10) 14:21:16:18:24:18, 19;26:24;27:4,11; 47:24:90:2:98:14 figures (1) 55:22 figuring (1) 47:13 file (2) 43:2.2 filed (16) 9:7;10:2,24;11:1,1; 43:6,17;44:11,13; 59:6,9;61:1,7,16; 63:1;81:23 filing (18) 5:8,12,18;9:20,22; 10:17;11:4,12,21; 28:8,21;59:15,19; 60:21;61:5;62:5; 93:10;99:12 filings (2) 22:17;100:19 **fill** (2) 43:7;90:7 filled (1) 40:8 final (16) 29:6:33:7,20; 35:13;37:8;40:14,19, 23;41:17;42:12;62:1; 63:20;64:1;70:11; 79:6.7 finalized (2) 41:2,15 financial (1) 10:9 financially (1) 40:20 financials (1) 41:1 find (5) 31:20;35:18;42:17; 67:18;92:11 fine (3) 17:4;19:2;103:23 finish (5) 33:19,22;37:6,16; 89:23 finished (10) 24:21;34:18;35:7, 13,23;36:16,19,21, 24;37:10 first (8) 5:7;6:7;18:18; 25:21;47:12;82:4,15;

94:18

Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

KE: 2018 CAST IKUN/	BARE STEEL REPLA(	LEVIENT PROGRAM		June 25, 2018
fiscal (19)	FROST (118)	gave (1)		86:15;89:1;93:4
15:14;24:1,20,22;	6:2,21;7:6,8,11,13,	34:23	Н	hiatus (1)
26:4;28:1;45:10;	17,22;8:1;12:14;	general (6)	11	- 69:3
49:4;50:5;61:19;	13:2,7,22;14:3,8,16;	31:12;48:3,6;57:4,	half (2)	high (8)
64:5,8;68:3,16;	15:8,14,21;16:4,11;	9;63:18	37:13,15	7:14;48:21,23,23;
69:10;82:12;91:10,	17:6,13;18:2,11,20,	generally (5)		52:13;53:22;71:13;
12,16	24;19:8,17,22;20:4,6,	30:11;53:8;86:12;	half-mile (2) 37:23;38:2	77:11
five (3)	13,16,21;21:2,9,11,	99:11;105:6		higher (6)
56:20;94:13,17	22;22:3,9,23;23:8,15,	generic (1)	Hampshire (4) 30:12;31:4,15;	11:20;52:4;53:24;
fix (1)	18;24:3,7,14,20;25:1;	89:1	67:23	62:22;71:11;72:2
73:14	26:3,7,10,13,17,21;	gets (2)	hand (2)	high-level (1)
flatten (1)	27:6,12,20,23;28:23;	93:1;104:15	12:2;31:2	47:11
73:5	29:7,12,20;30:5,11,	Giaimo (6)	handing (1)	high-pressure (1)
flattening (1)	17,22;32:15,20;33:4,	49:21,22;54:16;	19:7	20:23
66:8	15;34:5,14,16,23;	81:24;90:24;91:1	handwritten (1)	hire (1)
flow (1)	35:7,9,18;37:2,22;	gist (1)	25:12	104:19
104:2	38:9;39:6,12,21;	75:20	hanger (1)	history (3)
focus (4)	40:18;41:12,17,24;	given (4)	88:10	22:14;23:19;74:11
74:7;83:24;88:6;	42:9,10;47:17;48:5,9,	13:19;28:19;32:8;	hangers (8)	hit (2)
98:6	19;49:7,13,17;50:4,9,	87:9	16:14;47:12,16,17,	51:14,23
follow (1)	17,21;51:7,15;52:1,	gives (1)	19;83:3;88:24;	hitting (3)
69:18	16;53:17,18;55:2,7,	36:20	102:18	93:2;94:24;95:1
following (2)	11,14,22;56:12,17,	giving (1)	happy (1)	Hmm-hmm (1)
20:8;61:22	23;57:8;81:22	32:23	54:14	46:21
follow-up (3)	full (5)	glad (1)	hard (7)	holes (1)
48:9,11;56:8	26:23;29:5;59:1;	85:7	48:19;54:23;71:3;	23:4
forecast (2)	61:1;68:2	Gladstone (1)	87:4;93:2;103:12;	HONIGBERG (37)
50:7;60:12	function (1)	42:2	105:3	4:2;5:3,19,23;6:5;
formal (1)	6:18	goals (1)	hardest (1)	12:4;18:5,8;31:7;
11:2	Fund (1)	53:23	74:17	36:7;47:7;49:20;
formally (2)	66:23	goes (1)	head (4)	54:17;56:5;58:6,9,14,
11:1;63:1	funds (2)	103:4	46:24;65:9;72:24;	19;60:2,16,20;61:4,9;
forms (1)	52:7;57:16	Good (19)	73:3	64:17;72:14;84:1;
33:21	FUREY (17)	4:2,10,13,19;	hear (2)	85:3;90:23;95:8;
forth (1)	6:2,7,14,16,20,24;	22:22;49:23;51:13;	53:15;65:10	96:13;97:22;98:17,
19:4	7:2,5,12;12:14;18:11,	73:16;80:13;87:15;	heard (8)	23;99:2;100:13,21;
Fortunately (1)	21;19:8;28:24;42:9;	88:24;90:20;91:2,22;	63:11;89:24;91:2;	106:1
12:8	53:17;81:23	93:17;101:7;104:2,	96:18;100:23;101:2,	honors (1)
forum (2)	Furey/Frost (2)	14;105:5	14;104:23	5:24
97:18;98:2	5:9;19:24	grand (1)	hearing (10)	hook (1)
forward (3)	further (13)	103:17	4:7;58:13;59:23;	103:1 hans (1)
58:2;97:18;98:2	12:21;13:4;18:4;	great (3)	60:1;61:3;91:10,13;	hope (1)
found (4)	27:14;56:6;62:8;	85:17;102:6;104:3	95:22;97:6;106:8	81:16
38:20,23;86:14;	64:15;71:23,23; 72:12:85:1:06:15:	grind (1)	hearings (2)	host (1)
103:18	72:13;85:1;96:15; 99:16	39:14	11:8;100:20	78:12
<b>four (3)</b> 32:9;46:20;82:15		gross (1) 55:9	heater (1)	hot (2)
	<b>future (4)</b> 83:2;94:11;96:24;		103:2	31:24;103:2 hours (2)
<b>fraction (4)</b> 14:24;49:1;50:22;	99:20	<b>ground (5)</b> 52:10;54:3;57:24;	heating (2)	32:9,24
53:4	<b>FY2018 (1)</b>	91:21;98:13	70:24;102:24	52.9,24 house (3)
frame (1)	19:21	group (1)	heavily (1)	70:22;73:15;88:10
96:2	19.21	24:4	52:7	households (2)
frankly (1)	G	groups (2)	help (4)	15:1;48:7
84:6	0	84:18,20	42:22;66:17;67:2;	huge (2)
free (2)	game (1)	grown (1)	103:3	67:8;103:18
62:9;102:23	6:9	96:9	helped (1)	hundred (2)
freeze-thaw (8)	<b>gap</b> (1)	growth (1)	67:4	80:8;86:4
29:5;33:24;34:6;	<b>gap</b> (1) 43:8	80:17	helpful (1)	hundred-foot (1)
38:6,10;39:10,13,19	43.8 Gas (11)	guess (11)	43:12 holma (2)	62:9
frequency (1)	4:5,12;5:1;51:11;	17:16;45:21;52:9;	helps (2)	52.7
93:10	54:21,22;62:11;	53:14;61:16;76:20;	17:10;31:20	Ι
front (3)	66:23;67:16,21;	77:15;82:6;92:19;	here's (1) 90:20	-
12:1;44:24;73:15	103:1	97:14;105:6	90:20 hey (3)	<b>ICF</b> (1)
, <b>-</b> .,,			ney (3)	(-)

Min-U-Script®

Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

17:10 **ID**(1) 99:3 idea (4) 14:5;60:21;88:6; 102:7 ideally (1) 73:24 identification (2) 17:20:61:10 identify (3) 17:1,11:42:19 illustrative (1) 9:23 immediately (1) 76:14 impact (5) 10:10,18,22;11:11, 19 impacts (1) 12:10 implications (1) 45:23 imply (2) 73:16,17 implying (1) 73:12 imprecision (1) 13:16 improvements (2) 105:11,12 incentive (3) 104:3,9,11 inch (1) 95:3 inclination (1) 103:24 include (4) 9:24:13:7.14:41:17 included (6) 9:15;10:1;11:3; 13:4:33:12:62:16 includes (1) 14:19 including (2) 41:15;74:11 incorporated (1) 44:11 incorrect (1) 30:3 increase (4) 11:18;28:3;82:23; 87:7 increased (3) 53:20;57:11;84:10 increasing (1) 82:17 incurred (2) 14:17;16:20 incurring (1) 93:7 indeed (1) 23:5

indicate (6) 19:18:21:18:22:9. 18.19:23:21 indicated (1) 20:20 indicates (6) 19:13;20:1;44:4; 45:3:85:11:100:5 indicating (1) 40:11 indirect (3) 13:5,11,21 individual (1) 48:1 individually (2) 84:18,19 informal (2) 39:3,12 information (3) 48:2,3,6 initial (3) 5:8;51:4,23 initially (3) 43:17;68:12;69:2 inlay (1) 39:14 install (1) 56:23 installation (1) 55:15 installed (2) 40:12:79:3 instance (1) 78:6 instances (1) 66:15 interchange (1) 50:24 interest (1) 38:24 interests (1) 4:17 internally (1) 89:11 interpret (1) 72:24 **INTERROGATORIES (2)** 47:9;85:5 interrupt (1) 76:4 interrupts (1) 97:21 into (17) 25:2;40:10;44:11, 22;46:6;50:23;69:17; 76:18;78:16;80:14; 91:21,23;94:22; 95:23;99:20;103:4; 106:4 inventory (2) 65:18;95:4 investigate (1)

16:14

investigation (1) 69:19 investing (2) 69:22:104:9 investment (3) 65:13;88:11;104:8 invests (1) 104:7 invite (1) 92:4 involved (2) 17:14;101:19 iron (5) 49:13;61:20;74:10; 77:4,5 Iron/Bare (7) 4:5;49:12;69:15; 76:23;86:18;98:4; 100:16 issuance (2) 28:10:43:18 issue (5) 11:6;54:18;64:9; 103:18;106:6 issued (1) 43:19 issuing (1) 62:4 Item (2) 60:11,13 items (2) 14:6:45:11 J job (6) 13:8;37:10,11,12; 50:23:74:22 jobs (3) 38:6:41:3:77:11 joint (1) 9:4 judgment (1) 100:7 July (3) 36:19,22;63:23 jumped (1) 98:9 June (11) 36:21:37:15,21,24; 45:18:59:7.9:60:21: 61:5:63:1.23 Κ Keene (3) 49:11,12,14 keep (4) 71:13:103:24; 104:9.21 kind (16) 18:12;37:8;38:4; 63:16;65:8;69:9;

74:19:83:23:89:20: 92:9.10:93:14:94:15. 18:95:5:96:9 Knepper (27) 4:23;9:22;19:9; 36:7,11;39:23;40:2; 54:13;58:16,24;59:3, 22;61:12;62:18; 64:16,18,23;79:22; 83:4;95:9;96:15,21; 98:18;101:3;104:12; 105:13,21 Knepper's (6) 18:19;21:3;22:12; 53:7,11;54:5 knowing (1) 89:22 knowledge (1) 29:16 known (4) 24:12;28:7,14;79:8 knows (2) 79:8;88:14 Kreis (1) 104:3 L label (1) 81:5 labeled (4) 26:18,19:40:6,6 labor (2) 14:17:15:5 labor-intensive (1) 17:22 lag(1)93:11 laid (1) 55:24 Langdon (1) 41:6 large (3) 25:5,15;40:4 larger (2) 24:4;95:2 last (31) 7:18;8:22;11:8; 15:17;19:11,13,14, 16:20:1:21:6:38:9: 44:3,7;50:24,24; 53:2;54:4;56:12; 64:5,10:65:16,19: 69:17;73:13;82:6; 95:9,10;102:2; 103:20,22;105:18 lastly (1) 62:14 lasts (1) 71:1 late (2)80:14:89:1 later (3)

35:11:83:8:90:20 latest (1) 45:24 lays (1) 38:4 LDCs (1) 66:16 leads (1) 6:6 leak (6) 23:19;37:5;50:2; 65:24;74:11;85:14 leakage (6) 66:2,4,7,14;67:13; 73:4 leak-prone (2) 24:13;53:23 leaks (11) 65:3;66:19;67:1,8, 19,20,21;73:14; 74:12:85:16:104:24 leased (1) 17:9 left (3) 25:15;44:17;91:23 length (1) 55:23 lengthen (1) 93:8 Leone (1) 4:24 less (12) 16:21;50:5;52:20, 20:69:11.14.15:89:6: 97:5,6;100:3;103:10 lets (1) 88:20 letter (9) 10:2,9,11;42:24; 51:9,10;62:24;70:1; 103:22 letters (2) 49:7;51:17 letting (1) 38:21 level (3) 7:14;67:15;77:11 levels (2) 23:3;54:20 Liberty (11) 4:4,11:6:17:22:16; 37:3,22;63:19;65:18; 97:17:98:1:100:1 Liberty-initiated (1) 39:2 Liberty's (6) 53:13;61:14;67:6; 77:22;79:2;99:21 Library (1) 41:20 life (4) 44:19;45:24;46:4;

65:5

Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

92:9

BARE STEEI
<b>looks (1)</b> 86:9
loops (1) 18:12
lose (5)
6:7;95:13;1
105:4,4
loss (4)
22:24;23:9 86:4
lot (21)
16:21;25:9
12;67:18,18
80:10;90:2 97:5,6;101
104:2,12,22
105:5,11
lots (2)
103:14;104
low (3) 33:15,16,20
lower (3)
25:12,13;64
low-income (
102:5
<b>low-margin</b> ( 71:12
low-pressure
20:19
lucky (1)
88:1
lumps (1) 63:16
Lynn (2)
4:20;39:24
М
IVI
mail (1)
16:22
<b>mailers (1)</b> 14:19
mailing (1)
16:15
mailings (3)
14:20,24;10 main (8)
37:12;52:5.
72:8,11;89
mainly (2)
18:11;37:2
<b>mains (14)</b> 16:6;20:22
40:11;41:5,
45:6;48:17
53:21;65:3;
makes (3)
68:19;77:4 making (2)
88:21;105:
manage (1)
80:6
managed (1)

ooks (1)	6:7
86:9	manager (2)
oops (1)	6:18;8:24
18:12	managing (1)
ose (5)	79:24
6:7;95:13;104:19;	Manchester (8)
105:4,4	29:18,21,24;31:3,
ss(4)	14;39:9,15;64:2
22:24;23:9;76:7; 86:4	<b>Manchester's (1)</b> 33:12
ot (21)	mandates (1)
16:21;25:9;51:12,	39:21
12;67:18,18,18;73:8;	manual (3)
80:10;90:2;92:19;	14:21;16:17;17:19
97:5,6;101:20,22;	many (20)
104:2,12,22,24;	33:18;35:9;48:13,
105:5,11	14,16,20;49:5;55:5,
ots (2)	16;65:4;74:14;77:7;
103:14;104:8	79:10,10,14,15,23;
<b>w</b> ( <b>3</b> )	80:2;89:18;104:18
33:15,16,20 wer (3)	<b>maps (2)</b> 67:1,2
25:12,13;64:3	March (1)
<b>w-income</b> (1)	45:12
102:5	margin (3)
ow-margin (1)	55:9;56:16;71:13
71:12	mark (3)
ow-pressure (1)	5:7;31:6;60:23
20:19	marked (10)
icky (1)	5:15;6:22;8:6;9:3;
88:1	22:22;25:11;40:18,
<b>imps (1)</b> 63:16	22;60:17;61:10 market (4)
03.10	<b>шагке</b> ц (4)
vmn (2)	
ynn (2)	51:22;52:7;81:7;
<b>ynn (2)</b> 4:20;39:24	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24
ynn (2)	51:22;52:7;81:7;
<b>ynn (2)</b> 4:20;39:24	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M nail (1)	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10,
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M nail (1) 16:22	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M nail (1) 16:22 nailers (1)	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M nail (1) 16:22 nailers (1) 14:19	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M nail (1) 16:22 nailers (1) 14:19 nailing (1)	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M nail (1) 16:22 nailers (1) 14:19 nailing (1) 16:15	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3)	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15,
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8)	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15,
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 16:15 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2)	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 <b>marketing (43)</b> 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 <b>material (1)</b>
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14)	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5)
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14) 16:6;20:22;37:6;	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5) 6:23;7:9;68:5;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14) 16:6;20:22;37:6; 40:11;41:5,19;44:18;	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5) 6:23;7:9;68:5; 76:12;106:5
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14) 16:6;20:22;37:6; 40:11;41:5,19;44:18; 45:6;48:17;49:13;	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5) 6:23;7:9;68:5; 76:12;106:5 may (22)
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14) 16:6;20:22;37:6; 40:11;41:5,19;44:18; 45:6;48:17;49:13; 53:21;65:3;77:1;79:2	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5) 6:23;7:9;68:5; 76:12;106:5 may (22) 30:3;36:16;40:15;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14) 16:6;20:22;37:6; 40:11;41:5,19;44:18; 45:6;48:17;49:13; 53:21;65:3;77:1;79:2 makes (3)	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5) 6:23;7:9;68:5; 76:12;106:5 may (22) 30:3;36:16;40:15; 43:24;45:19;53:13;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14) 16:6;20:22;37:6; 40:11;41:5,19;44:18; 45:6;48:17;49:13; 53:21;65:3;77:1;79:2 makes (3) 68:19;77:4;91:4	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5) 6:23;7:9;68:5; 76:12;106:5 may (22) 30:3;36:16;40:15; 43:24;45:19;53:13; 54:23;55:17;56:9;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14) 16:6;20:22;37:6; 40:11;41:5,19;44:18; 45:6;48:17;49:13; 53:21;65:3;77:1;79:2 makes (3) 68:19;77:4;91:4 making (2)	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5) 6:23;7:9;68:5; 76:12;106:5 may (22) 30:3;36:16;40:15; 43:24;45:19;53:13;
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14) 16:6;20:22;37:6; 40:11;41:5,19;44:18; 45:6;48:17;49:13; 53:21;65:3;77:1;79:2 makes (3) 68:19;77:4;91:4	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5) 6:23;7:9;68:5; 76:12;106:5 may (22) 30:3;36:16;40:15; 43:24;45:19;53:13; 54:23;55:17;56:9; 63:23;68:14;74:12,
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 16:15 mailing (1) 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14) 16:6;20:22;37:6; 40:11;41:5,19;44:18; 45:6;48:17;49:13; 53:21;65:3;77:1;79:2 makes (3) 68:19;77:4;91:4 making (2) 88:21;105:17	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5) 6:23;7:9;68:5; 76:12;106:5 may (22) 30:3;36:16;40:15; 43:24;45:19;53:13; 54:23;55:17;56:9; 63:23;68:14;74:12, 13;76:4;88:16;92:23, 23;93:20;99:18; 101:7;104:19;105:4
ynn (2) 4:20;39:24 M mail (1) 16:22 mailers (1) 14:19 16:15 mailings (3) 14:20,24;102:18 main (8) 37:12;52:5,8;71:6; 72:8,11;89:2;96:22 mainly (2) 18:11;37:2 mains (14) 16:6;20:22;37:6; 40:11;41:5,19;44:18; 45:6;48:17;49:13; 53:21;65:3;77:1;79:2 makes (3) 68:19;77:4;91:4 making (2) 88:21;105:17 manage (1)	51:22;52:7;81:7; 100:24 marketing (43) 14:13,18;15:3,6, 16;16:2,4;17:17,22; 42:24;47:19;48:10, 11;51:23;52:12,19; 53:5;54:18;55:9; 56:14;57:2,11,12; 70:12,17;71:20;72:6; 81:4,5;87:7,14,16,19; 96:1,7;99:22;100:12; 101:19;102:6,11,15, 16;103:4 material (1) 13:8 materials (1) 14:17 matter (5) 6:23;7:9;68:5; 76:12;106:5 may (22) 30:3;36:16;40:15; 43:24;45:19;53:13; 54:23;55:17;56:9; 63:23;68:14;74:12, 13;76:4;88:16;92:23, 23;93:20;99:18;

17:21:22:6:36:8: 47:15:50:20:54:13: 69:20:83:14:93:7.8, 21;95:9,21;104:12 McNAMARA (8) 6:2;8:2,4,11,14,18, 21:9:10 McNamara's (2) 9:4;43:15 mean (9) 22:3,7;40:22; 55:16,17;63:22;91:8; 94:9;101:24 meaning (1) 72:21 means (5) 41:13;53:2;56:20; 77:11;79:2 meant (1) 45:17 meantime (1) 9:18 mechanism (3) 68:3;69:6;99:18 memorialized (3) 30:15,19;39:4 mentioned (3) 29:14;39:11;64:23 merits (2) 4:7:93:24 message (1) 105:10 Messrs (1) 18:11 method (1) 52:3 Metropolitan (1) 66:24 mid (1) 69:13 middle (1) 27:16 might (5) 14:6;17:1;66:17; 93:4,4 Mike (1) 4:11 mile (3) 37:13,15;83:12 mileages (1) 7:18 miles (6) 50:6;69:12;79:10, 14,18;80:13 militate (1) 105:6 milling (1) 38:12 million (1) 67:18 Mind (1) 19:1 minds (1)

## mindset (1) 74:18 minimum (9) 29:3,9,22;30:8,18; 31:16;34:10,11; 102:21 minor (2) 60:8;93:21 minute (2) 58:7;87:9 minutes (1) 58:11 misunderstand (1) 90:4 mixing (2) 31:23;32:4 modern (1) 54:1 modify (1) 52:19 moment (2) 69:19;84:5 moments (1) 100:10 money (9) 39:15;73:14;84:11; 90:2;100:3;101:10; 103:6,10;104:7 moneymakers (2) 55:3,6 month (6) 36:16;37:15,17,18, 18.21 months (1) 102:20 moratoriums (1) 90:19 more (32) 15:5;51:24;52:6,7; 55:16;57:4,11;67:9, 14:69:24:71:24; 73:13;74:24;76:7; 81:17,18,20;82:21; 83:1,11,15;84:11,12, 19,22,22;94:11,24; 95:1,3,23;100:1 **most (10)** 12:15;30:13;57:22; 73:9,10;74:15;75:3; 88:10:98:15:101:18 mostly (1) 101:21 motivated (1) 104:4 moving (4) 12:14;42:14;68:1; 105:5 much (11) 13:10;17:24;49:2; 51:24;67:9,14;73:6; 82:8;95:3,7;100:23

municipal (2)

RE: 2018 CAST IRON/	BARE STEEL REPLAC	CEMENT PROGRAM		June 25, 2018
63:12;80:17	87:8;89:5	Office (4)	andinanaaa (1)	10.01.4 16 17.00.12.
			ordinances (1)	18;21:4,16,17;22:13;
municipal-driven (1)	non-governmental (1)	4:16;32:17;33:5;	30:23	24:17;25:11,18;
50:12	66:16	72:4	organizations (1)	26:22;27:15;28:24;
municipalities (2)	Northern (2)	often (1)	66:17	29:1;31:23,23;34:24;
33:18;76:16	69:1;97:3	71:2	original (3)	36:13;37:16;38:7;
must(2)	note (1)	old (2)	11:4,21;96:5	39:8;40:5;42:15,17;
32:3;76:9	26:5	24:2;68:9	ours (1)	44:4,5,8,9;46:12,15;
myself (1)	noted (1)	onboard (1)	81:16	49:3;51:20;53:11;
64:13	100:20	55:5	ourselves (1)	60:11,11,12;62:18;
	notice (1)	once (1)	97:8	68:6
Ν	72:1	90:17	out (38)	pages (5)
	notification (2)	One (39)	6:9;9:18;14:21;	9:23;20:8;60:22;
name (4)	57:9;68:17	9:12;12:11;14:5;	16:18;22:7;31:2;	61:2;90:7
4:14;6:14;8:22;	notifications (1)	25:11;29:5;36:20;	38:4;40:13;45:10;	panel (4)
59:1	57:4	37:11;38:21;43:2;	47:13,24;49:5;51:3,4,	47:6;53:7,10;56:7
Nashua (16)	notifying (1)	47:12;55:8,13,19;	13;54:2;56:1;62:3;	paragraph (2)
29:19,21;30:1,2,14,	16:12	56:15;61:7,17;65:21;	65:18;66:8;70:19,20,	26:24;27:15
21;31:3,15,22;32:9,	November (12)	67:6;70:11;71:19;	24;71:23;73:6;75:22;	parameters (1)
14;34:12;39:18,21;	34:3,13;35:3,6,16,	72:2;74:12;81:3;	79:24;83:7;87:12,12;	68:11
64:2;102:5	19,22;36:1,6;37:1,19;	82:14;84:15;85:18;	90:2,7;91:16;92:11;	parent (1)
Nashua's (3)	78:22	89:3;92:13,16;93:7;	98:13,15;104:2;	104:7
29:15;30:20,22	now's (2)	94:9,15;96:4,7,8,16;	105:20	part (20)
Natural (2)	91:21,24	97:11;101:21;102:11	outcome (1)	11:4;22:17;28:2;
4:5,12	number (25)	ones (12)	93:4	44:13;47:17,19;
near (1)	10:6;16:22;17:23;	16:19;23:4;67:5;	outline (2)	49:15;54:24;59:14;
65:2	19:15,18;20:15;	72:11;73:9;74:17;	21:6;96:6	65:22;75:4;77:24;
nearing (1)	25:24;27:2,3;28:9,9,	75:2,3;78:12,22;	outlined (1)	78:4;80:7;82:12,20;
69:9	20;31:8;49:2,2;53:1,	80:11;87:22	34:23	83:22;89:8,18;103:5
nearly (2)	3;74:11;79:13,17,18;	only (23)	outweigh (1)	participate (2)
24:2,6	82:2,2,3;92:16	13:1;20:1,24;	74:2	9:2;92:5
necessarily (3)	numbers (8)	29:14;30:2;31:21;	over (18)	particular (2)
75:3;78:15;98:5	16:9;19:6;26:9,15;	32:23;34:1,8;36:3;	6:14;11:8;12:9,11;	42:20;43:8
need (13)	64:7;82:13,23;	39:12,16;47:11;	14:7;24:4,23;25:2;	particularly (1)
5:4;28:20;42:20;	105:20	62:20;70:22;71:13;	38:16,21;63:3;65:15;	94:6
50:14;54:8;65:1;	105.20	77:4;79:10,13;86:3;	74:21;75:19;79:11;	parties (3)
85:19,22;91:18,19;	0	89:21;92:17;93:14	93:13;94:13;105:13	38:24;99:5,8
93:8,9,20		onto (1)	overall (4)	partnered (2)
needs (2)	<b>Objection</b> (2)	84:15	12:24;47:20;55:10;	66:16,23
86:19,20	83:20;99:3	open (3)	66:1	parts (2)
negative (2)	obtained (2)	52:10,14;66:19	over-ambitious (2)	67:17,17
44:19;46:5	15:15;53:3	operating (2)	53:14,19	past (6)
neighborhood (7)	obtaining (1)	13:18;23:20	overhead (2)	24:11;53:19;57:9;
57:6;75:1;89:3;	62:11	operations (1)	13:13,13	61:23;64:10;77:8
102:1,3,4,5	obviously (2)	35:20	overlap (1)	patch (5)
net (2)	103:18,24	opinion (2)	17:3	36:4,5,15,17;37:9
44:19;46:5	OCA (5)	69:23;86:1	overlaying (1)	patching (1)
New (15)	11:8;70:7;92:4;	opinions (1)	38:12	36:2
30:12;31:4,15;	99:11;100:6	71:16	Overruled (1)	Pause (1)
44:13,16;47:15;	occur (3)	opportunities (1)	84:1	44:6
67:23;70:12;71:6,7,	30:10;38:22;50:12	71:2	oversees (1)	pave (3)
8;80:17;83:7;84:15;	occurred (1)	opportunity (2)	33:6	32:14;33:3;37:16
101:5	24:22	70:23;90:18	own (2)	paved (5)
next (13)	occurring (1)	opposed (2)	69:5;80:5	37:11;42:12;77:13;
31:8,9;36:14;39:8;	100:5	97:20;98:5	owners (1)	78:12,21
61:24;75:16;77:13;	October (2)	order (13)	16:15	pavement (9)
78:21;89:3;91:13;	37:19;63:22	9:18;11:13;28:11,	10.15	29:9;32:1,4,18,20;
93:2;95:21,22	off (9)	9:18;11:15;28:11, 19;40:12;43:18,24;	Р	35:15;38:20;63:20;
		44:17;45:8,22;65:17;	1	79:5
nice (1)	17:21;18:5,7;	71:1;106:6	nage (1)	
86:16	46:24;58:7,8;94:10;		pace (1)	pavements (1)
non-CIBS (2)	99:7;101:17	orders (1) 43:1	65:19 Page (44)	64:1 Powing (32)
16:2;102:12	offer (4)		Page (44)	Paving (32)
non-customers (5)	52:17,21;61:12;	order's (1)	10:5;12:16;18:15;	29:20;30:6,9;31:5;
48:10;49:8;51:16;	62:9	41:14	19:10,24;20:1,9,10,	32:4,5;33:3,19,19,23;

RE: 2018 CAST IRON/	BARE STEEL REPLAC	CEMENT PROGRAM	-	June 25, 2018
34:17;35:7,14,20,23;	picked (3)	27:1;31:16;35:17;	prepared (2)	55:24
36:20,22,24;37:8;	65:19;71:19;92:4	38:3;42:16;45:10;	6:21;7:12	profitable (3)
38:17,24;39:4,20;	picking (3)	49:16;65:11,12;	preparing (1)	55:12,19;56:19
40:14;41:15;50:22;	70:20;72:10;84:22	66:10;68:15;69:20,	8:10	Program (56)
63:12,17;77:9,19;	picks (1)	21,24;72:19;73:18;	prepositioned (1)	4:6;7:18,19;14:14,
78:13;92:24	67:17	74:1;76:2;77:12;	4:8	18,21;15:4;16:24;
pay (1)	picture (1)	78:20;84:23;89:20	present (1)	17:6,10;24:21;39:14,
13:10	73:6	points (1)	78:4	16;49:15;54:7,11;
Peabody (1)	pictures (1)	18:13	presented (3)	61:20,21,22;62:14;
21:7	21:21	policies (1)	38:13;39:14;99:23	65:15;67:10,24;68:8,
people (10)	piece (2)	33:13	presents (1)	13;69:3,22;70:5,13;
4:7;12:2;48:15;	13:10;22:8	policy (1)	53:24	72:19;76:12,23;78:4;
81:8;84:18;88:11,20;	pieces (2)	102:22	pressure (2)	80:23;85:14;86:23,
89:5;90:5;101:18	21:24;105:6	pool (1)	20:24;23:20	24;89:8,18;91:4,6,9;
per (5)	PILOT (1)	102:14	pretty (2)	92:18;93:9;94:1,8;
15:13;67:17,17;	39:16	portion (4)	51:13;75:22	95:15,23;96:5;97:1,
79:1;83:12	pipe (17)	5:17;13:23;53:2;	prevalent (1)	13;99:16,17;100:17;
	13:11;20:2,6,19;	98:24	86:8	104:1:105:18
percent (25)				,
9:15,19;12:24;	21:24;23:18;24:12,	pose (2)	prevents (1)	programs (3)
13:1,24;14:7;28:15;	13;40:24;53:24;54:1;	65:5,7	63:19	66:18;67:2;71:7
44:23;46:7,10,13,20,	56:23;86:6,15,18;	position (8)	previous (1)	program's (1)
23;48:24;50:20;	91:23;104:12	7:6;8:2,22;18:2;	46:9	17:14
65:17;69:14,15;76:7;	pipes (12)	52:1;54:19;59:1;87:6	previously (1)	project (4)
86:4;87:13,15,17,19,	23:3;57:23;65:4;	possibilities (2)	10:1	37:7;48:7;50:8;
23	66:1;73:15;74:10;	83:8,9	primary (3)	103:11
per-customer (2)	75:2,21;85:9;95:2,3;	possibility (1)	59:18;98:9,10	projects (18)
15:18;72:6	98:4	85:20	prime (1)	39:16;40:18,24;
perhaps (1)	piping (2)	possible (6)	24:11	41:11;42:8,11;47:2;
40:13	54:1;65:1	6:10;13:23;22:20;	printout (1)	48:22;50:13;52:6;
period (9)	pitting (1)	32:14;54:3;86:21	25:15	63:20;64:8;78:7,19;
32:9,22;35:5;	23:4	possibly (3)	prior (9)	80:8,18;101:23;
38:17,22;39:22;79:4,	place (5)	12:20;15:5;16:14	23:19;28:10;38:17;	102:9
7;91:14	5:4;41:20;47:2;	potential (6)	43:1,17;63:22;68:3;	prompt (1)
periods (2)	48:22;62:14	17:2,11;32:24;	82:11;97:11	104:13
33:21;66:4	placed (5)	48:14;51:9;90:15	priorities (1)	proper (3)
permanent (5)	28:16;33:17;45:12,	potentially (1)	80:20	33:9,17;35:15
35:1;36:2,15,17;	15;47:3	49:5	priority (1)	properly (1)
43:20	placement (2)	practices (2)	81:1	79:24
permit (1)	35:13,15	17:22;61:23	pristine (1)	property (2)
31:12	places (1)	pragmatic (1)	86:16	16:15:40:21
personalized (1)	54:21	76:16	probably (7)	proposal (1)
57:12	placing (1)	precedent (1)	55:20;56:1;73:24;	81:6
perspective (1)	31:24	68:23	76:15;90:19;93:6;	proposes (2)
65:11	<b>plan (4)</b>	precise (1)	98:18	5:6;52:17
	6:9;53:15;63:6;	69:13		
persuasive (1)	88:13		problem (3)	proposing (1)
101:6		predecessors (2)	74:5;76:14;97:23	102:16
petition (5)	planned (1)	22:16;93:15	problems (1)	prospects (4)
43:16;61:14;62:1;	53:13	predicting (1)	75:13	51:16;70:13;82:2,
100:15,18	plastic (2)	69:11	procedure (1)	22
petitioner's (1)	54:1;89:13	predictions (1)	45:15	provide (3)
59:15	play (1)	50:6	proceeding (4)	43:4;89:7;99:19
phase (1)	50:22	preface (1)	24:24;25:2;43:17;	provides (2)
69:17	please (11)	74:4	45:23	15:10;97:1
photos (1)	5:24;8:3,23;25:4;	preliminaries (2)	proceedings (2)	providing (1)
22:2	27:22;31:18;35:8;	5:5,20	44:6;64:11	75:19
phrase (1)	58:24;61:12;63:14;	preliminary (2)	process (4)	provisions (1)
104:5	74:6	13:15;90:11	16:17;75:5;80:7;	32:1
physical (1)	plus (1)	premature (1)	82:5	prudency (1)
62:3	13:12	65:4	product (2)	95:19
pick (8)	pm (4)	preparation (1)	33:7;34:19	prudent (2)
70:19;71:10;81:17;	32:11;58:12,13;	9:2	profit (1)	50:10;52:7
84:17;88:8;97:8,9;	106:8	prepare (2)	55:9	psi (2)
105:1	point (22)	7:9;59:13	profitability (1)	20:23;21:7
	F	,	<b>F</b> - 01100, ( <b>F</b> )	

# Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

<b>RE: 2018 CAST IRON/</b>	BARE SIEEL REPLAC	LEMENT PROGRAM		Julie 25, 2018
public (1)	ratepayer (2)	58:7,8,10;59:1;60:10	remains (1)	9:14;27:18,24;
65:6	94:6;99:19	records (2)	49:24	29:4,16,22;34:6,22;
publish (1)	ratepayers (1)	40:19,23	remediate (1)	35:9;76:20
67:1	4:18	recover (5)	76:8	requirements (15)
PUC (1)	rates (10)	11:2;93:5;95:13;	remember (1)	10:20;11:17;29:3,
65:10	8:4,4,24;45:18;	97:19;98:3	71:17	15;30:7,20;31:5,13,
purpose (2)	65:24;66:2,14;67:13;	Recoverable (1)	removal (1)	17,22;34:1,12,16;
7:15;80:23	82:1;98:15	26:20	50:1	77:10;78:11
purposes (1)	rather (3)	recovering (2)	removed (1)	requires (3)
28:21	20:15;47:13;89:4	96:22;98:6	80:14	36:2;37:5;104:13
pursuant (1)	reach (2)	recovery (13)	repair (2)	research (3)
86:21	65:12;69:21	11:13;27:9;57:20;	29:15;37:5	14:21;89:11;
push (1)	reached (2)	62:20;63:2;81:2;	repairs (2)	101:22
102:7	51:4;69:24	92:13;95:17;97:1;	37:3;38:20	resident (2)
put (10)	reaching (1)	98:8;99:14;100:16;	re-pave (1)	14:19,20
36:4,17;60:10;	72:19	104:11	56:24	residential (4)
67:11;69:3;83:2;	read (2)	<b>REDIRECT (2)</b>	repeat (2)	4:17;10:23;11:19;
84:4;88:10;90:19;	27:21;63:15	56:10;96:19	30:17;103:21	12:10
106:4	reading (1)	reduced (1)	replace (8)	residents (5)
puts (1)	42:1	10:12	65:1;69:4;74:14;	14:22,22;16:16,18,
10:3	real (3)	reduction (3)	76:9,11,13;91:24;	22
putting (3)	7:14;104:10;	50:20;65:23;85:16	102:24	resources (3)
88:6;90:6;94:10	105:16	re-examine (4)	replaced (5)	77:21,23;79:20
0	Really (11)	54:7;70:10;91:4;	53:21;65:16;74:10;	respect (5)
Q	18:11;38:1;66:5;	92:21	86:19,21	32:4;45:20;46:19;
ana 194-1 (2)	73:22;82:7;90:15;	<b>re-examined (1)</b> 54:9	<b>Replacement (13)</b> 4:6;5:16;23:19,21;	95:21;105:23
<b>quality (2)</b> 33:17;34:18	92:6;94:17;103:8,12; 105:9	refer (3)	33:10;37:12;65:4,13;	<b>respectively (2)</b> 41:9;42:6
quick (1)	reason (4)	13:12;32:1;42:15	74:16;97:13;98:7;	responded (2)
77:6	71:5;83:4;99:24;	reference (2)	100:17;104:14	51:8,10
quickly (4)	101:17	10:4;32:7	replacements (1)	response] (3)
73:8;88:12;98:9;	reasons (4)	referenced (2)	96:23	5:22;98:22;99:1
106:7	9:9;54:24;76:16;	25:23;63:4	replacing (5)	responses (2)
quilted (1)	92:12	referring (1)	48:17;60:22;66:11;	82:2;87:16
37:9	recall (2)	66:21	89:2:98:3	responsibilities (1)
quirky (1)	45:3;70:14	refiled (1)	report (5)	59:14
82:9	received (4)	43:24	20:18;22:2,7;62:8;	responsible (1)
quotes (1)	40:19,23;51:17;	reflect (4)	90:9	59:18
88:12	52:23	9:20;13:17;28:9;	Reporter (3)	restoration (12)
	recent (1)	41:2	6:4;58:18;97:21	24:21;29:6;33:20;
R	57:23	regarding (5)	reporting (1)	35:2,13;38:11;40:14;
	recess (1)	29:3;43:20;63:12;	97:14	41:18;42:11;73:7;
raise (2)	58:12	65:13;99:22	reports (2)	79:6,7
103:16;105:19	recognizing (1)	regards (1)	19:20;62:4	restored (1)
raised (1)	65:8	61:18	representing (1)	73:8
101:17	recollection (1)	regular (4)	4:17	restriction (5)
raises (1)	45:22	96:23;97:18;98:2;	represents (2)	31:21;33:11;34:20;
104:18	recommend (2)	102:17	24:19,20	39:10,19
ramped-up (3)	61:2;105:22 recommendation (1)	<b>Regulatory (2)</b> 8:5;9:1	<b>request (8)</b> 11:1,2;57:1,10;	restrictions (6) 33:22;34:8,17;
81:5,13;82:16 Randall (3)	99:22	related (6)	63:7;100:6;105:17,	63:17;77:10,19
4:22;58:16;59:3	recommendations (1)	9:13;14:1,3,6;	21	result (3)
range (3)	99:14	56:21;70:12	requested (3)	27:7;33:17;83:11
50:21;56:1;103:2	recommending (1)	relationship (2)	10:12;28:17;88:5	resulted (1)
rate (30)	91:6	66:6;73:22	requesting (4)	57:15
9:17;28:8,17;	recommends (1)	relatively (1)	9:23;10:17,21;63:2	resulting (1)
43:20;44:23;45:16;	30:12	96:1	requests (1)	46:22
46:6,9,13,18;47:1;	reconciliation (1)	relied (1)	100:15	Results (7)
48:21,23;51:14,23;	91:11	31:17	require (1)	4:6;7:17;50:22;
57:18,22,23;66:4,7;	reconciling (2)	relying (1)	38:5	57:13;75:11;89:7;
73:4;93:10;95:18;	61:18;64:6	45:21	required (2)	101:23
96:23;97:4,9,9,18;	record (9)	remaining (3)	43:1;55:24	resumed (1)
98:2;100:4	18:6,7,14;27:21;	24:9;85:9;98:3	requirement (10)	58:13

Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

RE: 2018 CAST IRON/	BARE STEEL REPLAC	CEMENT PROGRAM		June 25, 2018
retainment (1)	route (5)	103:10	16:6;28:16;37:6;	signifies (1)
62:5	16:16,18;47:14,24;	scale (1)	40:22,24;41:1;45:12,	40:10
return (2)	48:7	67:16	15;46:4;47:3,14;	Simek (43)
54:18;98:18	routes (10)	scheduled (1)	48:1,16,17;51:11,19;	5:13;6:1;8:7,8,9,
returns (4)	16:13;48:22;52:3,	78:7	55:15,23;56:21;62:9,	22,24,24;9:5,8,12,21;
65:12;69:22;72:20,	18,24;57:5;81:8,18;	scheme (1)	11;89:9,12,13,14,15;	10:8,15,20;11:5,10,
21	87:8;101:1	103:17	96:23	15,17;28:6,12,14,22;
revenue (6)	Row (5)	<b>SDF/BRF-3</b> (3)	services (10)	43:14,22;44:2,15,21,
9:14;10:11,20;	10:6;25:20;41:20,	42:16,21;43:9	40:12;45:21;46:1,	24;45:9,14;46:3,8,11,
11:17;27:17,23	21;42:4	season (4)	13,18;77:2,3;79:3;	14,17,20,24;54:11;
review (4)	Rows (2)	63:21;65:20;79:12;	89:19;90:9	57:17,21;58:1,3
18:18;68:2;75:8;	26:8;42:1	93:7	session (1)	Simek/McNamara (2)
95:19 reviewed (1)	<b>RSK (1)</b> 19:11	seat (1) 98:19	61:17 set (1)	5:10,14 similar (8)
61:14	rule (1)	second (6)	75:16	33:11;36:20,22;
reviewing (2)	104:13	18:6;19:13;26:23,	sets (1)	39:10,18;63:18;76:1;
59:15;81:24	ruled (1)	24;39:1;49:11	26:14	97:13
reviews (10)	45:17	secondary (2)	settle (5)	simply (1)
26:2;27:5,19;	rules (1)	98:8,11	29:5;36:18;38:16,	77:12
29:11;31:19;32:6;	29:2	Sections (2)	21;79:4	SIMS (2)
42:18;49:6;51:6;94:3	running (1)	23:18;94:22	settled (1)	16:24;17:6
revised (15)	91:20	Security (2)	79:5	single (3)
5:12,13;8:7;9:10,		4:23;59:4	settlement (3)	37:4;83:15;93:5
22;10:3,17,24;11:21;	S	seeing (2)	9:16;62:20;105:22	sit (1)
28:21;43:23;44:5,10;		82:13;102:8	settling (3)	68:18
61:1;100:18	Safety (10)	seeking (3)	36:3;39:21;79:7	situation (5)
revisions (1)	4:23,24;19:21;	27:17,23;57:19	seven (12)	32:18,21;33:4;
59:9	53:24;54:5;59:3; 62:21;63:6;65:9;91:5	seems (6)	19:14,15,18,19,20;	95:12;103:9
<b>rid (5)</b> 71:12;76:24;77:1,	salvage (2)	19:18;52:11,13; 83:21;86:14;102:12	20:15,16;21:16,24; 24:1;56:1,18	<b>six (6)</b> 20:2,6,15;56:1,18,
3,5	44:20;46:5	segments (2)	seventh (2)	20.2,0,15,50.1,18,
Ridge (1)	same (15)	24:10;62:3	20:11;21:5	Sixteen (2)
41:6	7:6;8:17;15:24;	selected (3)	several (2)	49:5;51:13
right (44)	18:15;20:17;25:18;	23:12,18;67:7	53:19;99:13	skip (1)
5:23;14:8;19:6,12;	26:14;27:15;34:7;	selecting (2)	shaded (1)	12:10
21:6;25:16;26:6;	39:18;44:18;66:5;	75:5,9	25:21	slightly (2)
28:6;35:21;36:14;	87:11;89:7;91:14	selects (1)	SHAWN (3)	10:12;15:5
40:4;43:14;55:1,16;	sample (7)	74:9	6:2,13,14	slow (1)
56:19;58:6;60:16;	20:11;21:5,24;	sell (1)	SHEEHAN (31)	105:7
69:23;70:3;76:9,24;	22:8;23:6;82:9;83:5	54:23	4:10,11;5:5,6;6:5,	small (4)
77:20;78:11;80:1,7;	samples (15)	send (4)	6,12;11:23;12:5,8; 19:2;25:7,14;42:22;	53:4;82:9;83:5; 95:4
82:17,22;83:13; 84:18;87:2,18;89:8,	19:19;20:2,6,15, 16;21:16,18,19;	14:18;48:1,3,6 sense (8)	43:10;56:5,8,11;	smaller (3)
10;91:12;94:12,14;	22:15,18;23:11;24:1,	52:11;55:18;68:19;	58:4;63:1;72:14,15,	17:24;67:9;75:2
95:15;96:11;97:2;	5;75:19;86:2	74:13;77:4;91:4,20;	17;82:21;84:3;85:1;	smaller-scale (1)
98:17;99:2;101:17;	satisfactory (1)	102:10	87:10;96:13;100:21;	67:10
105:21;106:5	35:20	sent (3)	101:16;106:2	so-called (1)
right-hand (1)	saturation (3)	49:7;51:16;89:4	short (3)	11:2
25:13	48:21,23;82:1	sentence (3)	32:22;33:8;96:2	software (1)
risk (3)	saved (1)	27:16,18,21	show (6)	17:10
23:20;53:24;65:5	50:16	separate (2)	42:10;48:13;84:6;	soil (1)
risks (1)	saves (1)	34:16,21	87:13;104:5;105:20	20:2
104:18	39:15	separately (1)	shown (7)	Someday (1)
Road (2)	saving $(1)$	60:23	23:3;41:22;42:5;	60:14
41:6,20 POF (5)	74:16	September (2)	48:12;49:3,8;50:4	Someone (1)
<b>ROE (5)</b> 9:13,19,20;10:10,	<b>savings (2)</b> 38:14;94:7	37:18;63:24 series (1)	shows (3) 21:4;50:5;51:7	73:17 sometime (1)
9.13,19,20,10.10, 13	saw (3)	18:10	sign (1)	92:3
roll (1)	27:11;34:11;66:6	serve (1)	48:16	sometimes (1)
91:16	saying (11)	89:10	signed (2)	17:1
roughly (4)	17:20;22:6;36:18;	served (1)	51:11,18	somewhere (3)
15:20;55:8;56:15;	37:14;56:18;85:18,	90:3	significant (2)	15:19;69:12;72:6
104:1	19,20;90:5;92:14;	service (27)	13:23;50:19	soon (2)

54:3;86:21 sorry (6) 34:15;45:19:46:14: 47:18:94:4:96:14 sort (3) 19:4;35:22;87:11 sorts (1) 74:22 sounds (8) 15:3:46:3,8:51:12, 13;54:4;82:17;86:17 south (1) 102:3 speak (2) 6:10:17:13 specific (3) 10:3;29:22;50:17 specifically (6) 17:18;24:17;32:2; 35:4;100:1;101:18 specification (1) 30:6 specifications (5) 29:20;30:23;31:4; 39:5,20 Speidel (2) 4:22;31:1 spell (1) 22:7 spelled (2) 60:12,13 spelling (1) 60:9 spend (10) 28:7,14;52:20; 55:7;56:20;100:3; 101:20:102:11.13; 103:10 spending (1) 95:21 spends (1) 101:22 spent (4) 15:14;16:21;103:7, 7 spoke (1) 69:19 spoken (1) 11:7 spot (1) 37:3 spreadsheet (6) 12:1;19:11,16; 25:13:40:5:103:6 spreadsheets (1) 25:5 spring (2) 77:13;78:21 stack (1) 81:1 staff (14) 4:15,21;11:7; 31:20;42:19;45:3;

57:10:64:15:70:7; 93:16:99:13:100:14: 103:22;105:13 standard (1) 30:23 standpoint (1) 76:21 stands (1) 40:17 start (9) 6:13;12:2;83:14; 89:23:91:15:99:6; 100:22;102:19,20 started (3) 69:2;78:9;82:19 starting (6) 65:14;66:3,8;73:2, 3,5 state (6) 20:5;29:2;31:4,15; 58:24:62:19 stated (1) 62:14 statement (1) 53:16 statements (3) 21:13;63:11;99:8 states (7) 10:11;19:9;20:6, 10,19;36:14;44:10 Status (2) 40:7:41:2 stays (1) 33:2 **Steel (26)** 4:5;19:19;22:15; 23:3,11,18:24:10; 49:12:50:2:61:20: 69:4,15;75:12,13; 76:23,24:77:1,2,3; 86:14.18.18:89:12. 14:98:4:100:16 steep (1) 66:6 step (3) 28:2;57:3;69:6 still (10) 17:23;42:7;50:3; 52:16,21;55:3;62:4; 68:13;75:22;92:17 stop (3)35:17;47:23;70:8 stopped (1) 97:15 stopping (1) 85:14 straight (1) 16:15 Street (8) 21:8;29:15;35:1; 41:7;42:2;48:18; 56:24:79:13 streets (1)

57:7 stretches (1) 37:13 strike (1) 99:3 structure (2) 90:14:94:16 struggling (2) 52:9;92:20 study (6) 44:12,13,16;45:5, 16,24 Subject (8) 44:21;45:1;46:8; 64:6;95:18;96:10; 99:12;104:13 submit (1) 62:1 submitted (2) 19:20;22:15 substantially (1) 50:1 success (3) 70:20,21;72:3 successful (2) 62:11;97:11 suggest (2) 50:10,19 suggested (3) 69:18:100:9; 105:12 suggestion (3) 16:9,10,11 suggests (1) 53:12 sum (1) 99:5 summarize (3) 68:4:74:19:86:11 summarized (1) 20:8 summary (3) 17:16;22:19;61:13 summer (1) 104:20 summing (1) 101:11 super (1) 92:11 support (2) 68:2;70:16 supportive (1) 99:11 surcharge (1) 69:6 sure (9) 17:6;18:13;19:6; 61:14;78:15;101:14, 16;102:20;104:15 surface (2) 32:2.12 surprise (1) 72:4

suspend (3) 68:20:91:19:92:24 suspending (3) 91:6:92:22:104:17 swear (1) 58:15 sworn (5) 5:21:6:3:7:3:8:20: 58:17 sympathetic (1) 99:21 sympathy (1) 100:23 synergies (1) 50:15 system (12) 20:20,23;21:1; 40:11;66:2;67:21; 69:16;76:11;84:14, 16;98:4;103:1 systems (3) 54:2;65:2;86:12 Т Tab (1) 5:8 table (4) 82:1,5,12;87:9 tactics (4) 81:13:84:4.6.10 takers (1) 104:9 talk (5) 51:20;92:6,10; 94:5;105:11 talked (3) 51:2;94:23;95:11 talking (2) 62:8:68:15 target (4) 66:18;67:2,4;89:5 targeted (3) 70:12,16;100:12 targeting (1) 79:19 tech (1) 61:17 technology (2) 67:11,14 temperature (21) 29:3.12.23:30:9.11. 15,19;31:16,21,24; 32:2,3,12,13,21;33:2, 8,20;34:8,18,21 temperatures (7) 29:9,10,10;32:1; 33:16,16;35:14 temperature's (1) 32:19 temporary (3) 36:4.5:79:5 tend (2)

### Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

84:17:94:11 term (1) 85:8 terminate (2) 68:20;85:21 territory (2) 84:17;101:6 testifying (1) 83:22 testimony (76) 5:9,11,14;6:21;7:1, 3,4,9,15,21,23,24;8:6, 8,10,19,20;9:4,10; 10:3,24;12:17;13:14; 15:23;16:8;18:19,22; 19:5,10,24;20:10,18; 21:3,14,15;22:13; 24:16;25:5,24;26:1, 23;28:24;29:18;38:9; 42:14,24;43:5,15,23; 45:13;46:16;53:8,11; 54:6;59:12,13,24; 60:7,14,17;61:1,5,13, 15;62:15,19;63:15; 70:14;71:22;74:20; 78:8;81:22;89:24; 90:8;94:23;99:23 testing (1) 38:17 thankful (1) 52:23 Thanks (2) 49:10:54:16 there'll (1) 90:19 thinking (3) 66:22:74:8:101:13 third (2) 81:11;87:12 though (3) 55:4:78:13:95:4 thought (2) 34:7;106:4 thoughts (4) 53:15;68:5;101:12; 106:2 thousand (2) 102:10,13 thousands (1) 104:23 three (16) 15:17;29:17,23; 30:5;31:7,9;34:3; 35:24;44:3,7;60:13; 72:1;82:6;83:8; 88:19:93:15 throughout (1) 52:3 Tilton (2) 20:22:21:1 time-consuming (1) 51:21 time-intensive (1)

SUSAN J. ROBIDAS, N.H. LCR (603) 540-2083 shortrptr@comcast.net

KE. 2010 CAST IKON.	DARE STELL REFLAC	
16:17	64:11;71:24;80:18;	22:5;47:17,18;52:7;
times (3)	88:18;89:3	57:16;67:14;99:19
35:9;56:21;77:7	type (2)	used (5)
today (10)	16:1;71:14	9:13,15;44:17;
4:21;7:4,24;8:16,	typical (2)	62:10;67:2
19;48:8;57:20,24;	10:22;35:5	useful (4)
63:11;105:10	Typically (5)	65:5;67:22;100:11;
today's (1)	35:18;37:5;70:24;	101:20
100:20	78:22;87:16	uses (1)
together (2)		67:16
63:17;90:6	U	using (4)
top (2)		16:14;17:7;97:18;
46:24;80:24	unattended (1)	98:2
topic (1)	67:9	Utilities (9)
56:12	under (5)	4:4,12;6:17;22:16;
topics (2)	14:13;27:9;70:13;	69:1;70:7;97:3;
77:6;101:12	105:22;106:6	100:2;104:4
total (11)	underestimate (1)	utility (2)
13:1,18;26:18,19;	13:24	5:1;105:3
27:10;50:7,9,23;53:1,	underestimated (1)	
		V
4;69:16	12:23	v
totally (5)	understood (1)	
71:9;75:14;80:3;	95:12	valid (1)
84:12;98:14	undesirable (1)	68:13
totals (1)	65:2	value (2)
. ,		
26:4	unfinished (2)	44:20;46:5
toward (1)	40:13;80:10	values (4)
100:23	unique (2)	13:5;15:11,12;94:6
towards (1)	101:24;103:9	variance (1)
105:7		12:24
	unit (2)	
town (4)	12:19;37:11	variety (1)
21:1;67:22;77:18;	units (1)	54:24
78:10	40:21	various (5)
towns' (1)	universe (1)	17:11,12;23:3;
63:16	89:21	67:1;77:9
	unless (2)	
track (2)		varying (2)
49:24;50:3	35:3;62:21	22:9;33:22
tracking (2)	unlimited (1)	verbal (3)
69:6;103:5	102:14	5:22;98:22;99:1
translates (2)	unserved (1)	verify (1)
44:22;46:5	81:8	32:16
trench (2)	up (30)	versus (4)
38:16,21	12:2;30:23;36:23;	13:4,21;67:17;
trenches (1)	37:19;48:16;51:11,	84:18
29:4	18;54:13;57:6;65:19;	virgin (1)
try (10)	67:17;69:14,18;	84:16
35:21;52:11;57:13;	70:19,20;71:10,19;	visualize (1)
71:13;75:7;80:24;	72:10;81:17;84:17,	73:4
81:7;84:13;89:4;	22;86:15;88:8,21;	visualizing (1)
90:16	92:24;99:5;101:11;	73:11
trying (6)	102:1;103:1;105:1	voice (1)
16:17;39:24;74:15;	updated (1)	6:8
	<b>_</b>	0.8
87:2;93:3,5	9:20	
turn (3)	upgraded (2)	$\mathbf{W}$
12:16;14:9;15:22	81:13;82:5	
turning (2)	upon (2)	wait (2)
28:23;43:14	34:5;45:17	36:3;79:4
twice (1)	uptick (1)	wall (4)
57:17	94:19	22:24;23:8;76:7;
two (14)	urged (1)	86:4
5:7;9:12;13:5;	74:21	warm (1)
16:9;17:4;22:21;	use (11)	88:21
34:16;42:8;60:8;	16:23,24;17:2,15;	warmer (1)
J <del>1</del> .10, <del>1</del> 2.0,00.0,	10.23,24,17.2,13,	

32:13 warranted (1) 23:22 warranty (1) 38:18 Warren (1) 42:2 was/were (1) 58:16 Water (2) 5:1;103:2 way (12) 5:5;12:2;35:11; 36:23;54:14;62:6; 77:16;97:11;98:5,15; 101:6;104:14 ways (3) 74:22;97:2,16 weather (1) 35:19 week (2) 102:18;103:22 weigh (1) 65:1 weren't (7) 9:24;71:17;81:20; 87:21;88:7;94:20; 103:15 what's (4) 9:3:13:4:54:24; 94:16 whereas (1) 45:15 where's (1) 76:2 WHEREUPON (2) 6:1:58:16 wherever (1) 86:13 whisper (1) 6:8 whole (8) 37:17,18,18;38:3; 70:5;76:23;78:11; 88:6 whole-life (2) 44:22;46:6 who's (1) 84:15 willing (1) 54:12 winter (2) 38:16,21 wiser (1) 102:13 within (6) 13:20;28:17;40:7; 80:20;86:12;90:5 without (8) 16:16:44:24:73:19; 83:6;86:23;96:24; 97:14:99:3 Witness (14)

## 26:2;27:5,19; 29:11;31:19;32:6; 42:18:47:6:49:6; 51:6;53:10;58:15; 83:21;94:3 witnesses (9) 5:4,21;19:8;31:2; 42:9:53:17:75:18; 98:20;106:3 woman (1) 101:21 word (1) 56:20 words (1) 53:12 work (19) 6:17;32:24;40:11, 12;41:14;42:7;50:11, 13,14;53:22;78:16; 80:5,14,16,24;82:22; 90:11:103:4:105:1 worked (1) 6:9 working (4) 30:21;80:17;84:7; 103:11 worn (1) 24:12 worried (1) 38:1 worry (1) 34:10 worth (6) 55:8,21;68:8,10; 70:2.4 worthwhile (1) 62:7 worthwhileness (1) 71:16 worthy (2) 54:8;103:19 wrap-up (2) 96:16;98:24 writing (3) 8:16;39:6,7 written (4) 7:23;19:20;20:17; 33:12 wrong (3) 52:15;60:12,13 wrote (1) 70:1 Y year (57) 15:14;24:1,21,22; 26:4;28:1;34:2,9,13; 35:5,12;38:9;39:1; 45:10;49:4;50:5; 53:2,5,23;55:13; 61:19,21,24;62:2,13;

Min-U-Script®

64:5,8;65:21;68:3,

Hearing on the Merits June 25, 2018

RE: 2018 CAST IRON/	BARE STEEL REPLAC	EMENT PROGRAM		June 25, 2018
16 60 10 72 2 78 6	69.0.10.60.2.96.1.0	2 (10)	2741. (2)	45D (1)
16;69:10;72:2;78:6;	68:9,10;69:2;86:1,9;	2 (10)	27th (2)	45R (1)
80:9,14;81:11;82:4,	87:13,15,19;91:2;	5:12;8:7;9:3,11;	28:11,20	5:15
13,15;83:6,15;88:1,	93:13;96:9;97:14	25:4;29:1;32:11;	28,235 (1)	45-year (1)
17;89:17;91:10,13,	10- (1)	33:1;44:9;87:17	41:10	46:4
14,16;92:23;93:2,6;	95:2	2,000 (1)	_	47 (2)
94:18;95:21;97:6;	<b>100-foot</b> (1)	38:2	3	83:8;87:12
103:13,13;104:18	102:22	2,668 (1)		48,234 (1)
yearly (1)	10th (1)	27:3	3 (12)	41:10
104:11	61:21	2,668,808 (3)	19:9,11;21:4;	49R (2)
years (41)	12 (3)	24:18;25:23;27:3	22:14;29:1;34:24;	44:4,8
15:17;23:12,13;	42:1;93:23;94:5	2,718,259 (2)	36:14;38:7;39:9;	
24:2,6;46:1,2;53:19;	12-(1)	27:1,9	42:23;43:5;44:9	5
55:5,16,18;56:2,18;	95:2	2:53 (1)	3.55 (2)	
57:9;65:5,16,19;68:9,	13 (7)	58:12	46:7,23	5 (3)
10;69:3;71:1,18;	42:1;50:10;60:11,	20 (6)	3:39 (1)	10:6;12:18;28:15
74:21;75:20;81:6;	11,13;64:24;82:13	43:3;44:8;50:20;	58:13	50R (1)
83:8;84:14;86:1,10;	14 (3)	51:20;55:18;71:1	<b>30</b> (4)	44:9
88:18,19;89:3;91:3;	31:23;60:12;82:13	2000 (1)	36:3,5;58:11;87:23	55 (2)
93:13;94:13,17;96:9;	15 (8)	69:1	<b>30,000 (1)</b>	22:14;24:4
97:15;102:2;103:20;	35:6,6;42:15;	2009 (2)	67:8	<b>59</b> (1)
105:13	50:20;82:19;83:7;	22:17;24:5	30,603 (1)	82:24
years' (2)	87:12;102:12	2015 (1)	42:6	59R (2)
72:1,1	150 (1)	87:23	30,955 (1)	46:12,15
year's (6)	83:9	2017 (5)	26:11	
7:18;55:8;56:16;	154 (5)	24:21;26:4;28:1;	<b>30-percent</b> (1)	6
64:5,6;105:18	49:7;51:15;70:21;	43:3;64:5	38:13	
year-to-year (1)	82:23;87:12	2018 (12)	31st (2)	6 (5)
82:10	15th (13)	15:14;24:1,22;	43:24;45:12	14:10,15;15:10;
yellow (1)	34:3,13;35:3,3,16,	44:1;45:11,12;49:4;	32 (1)	41:6;42:15
22:21	19,22;36:1,6;37:1,19;	59:7;61:19;64:8;	90:13	<b>60</b> (4)
7	62:2;78:22	91:10;100:16	33 (1)	20:23;21:7;30:12;
Z	16 (13)	2019 (3)	19:24	65:17
	31:23;49:4,8;51:2,	53:13;69:10;91:13	34 (1)	60s (1)
zero (3)	3,10,18;52:23;62:18;	2020 (3)	21:16	69:13
41:23;60:15;82:14	70:19,20;83:9;87:12	68:3,16;91:16	35 (3)	60-year (1)
zeros (1)	16-(1)	2024 (6)	19:10;21:4;22:13	44:18
87:22	95:2	50:3,5,8;54:10;		62-percent (1)
0	17 (4)	92:15;94:2	4	51:23
0	51:7;62:19;82:1;	21 (7)		64R (1)
	91:11	12:23;13:24;14:7;	4 (10)	10:5
004 (1)	17-048 (4)	29:1;44:8,8;50:4	13:1;38:7;41:6;	67 (2)
36:14	28:3;43:19;44:14;	<b>21st</b> (1)	60:1,18;61:3,10;	5:11;82:23
08-009 (5)	45:5	63:1	69:14,15;99:4	67R (1)
44:12;45:5;46:1,	18 (5)	22 (1)	4,000 (1)	5:15
10;57:18	14:10,15;15:10;	44:8	38:3	
	49:3;62:19	23 (1)	4.0 (2)	7
1	18-064 (1)	90:12	46:10,13	
	4:3	24 (3)	4:33 (1)	7 (6)
1 (15)	18th (2)	26:9,14;53:11	106:8	14:10,15;15:10;
5:8,10,17;6:22;8:6;	59:7;61:8	24,224 (1)	40 (12)	42:15;64:23;78:8
9:3;10:5;12:17;29:1;	19 (2)	42:6	20:9,18;21:17;	70 (2)
44:9;49:9;60:11;	15:23;91:12	25 (4)	30:16,20;32:3,7,11,	69:12;76:7
67:7;87:16;99:4	1920 (1)	68:1,6;71:1;90:13	19,21;33:2;46:1	700 (1)
1,402,570 (1)	86:15	257,969 (1)	<b>40-degree</b> (1)	56:21
28:4	<b>1990s</b> (1)	26:12	34:11	
1,621 (1)	69:2	26 (8)	43 (1)	8
53:2	19th (3)	51:3,8,9,13,17,18;	90:7	
1.92 (1)	59:9;60:21;61:5	70:19;93:23	44 (5)	8 (2)
44:23	1st (3)	26,122 (1)	5:10;25:11,15;	49:23;78:8
10 (22)	36:19;45:18,19	43:18	40:5;90:8	80s (1)
12:16;24:18;29:1;		27 (5)	45 (4)	48:24
32:11;33:1;41:20;	2	11:20;26:22;27:15;	5:11;25:15;46:1;	83 (4)
50:6,20;64:24;65:16;		43:19;90:13	78:7	25:20,20;26:9,19

Min-U-Script®

<b>85</b> (1)		
<b>85 (1)</b> 24:6 <b>87,404 (1)</b> 41:9		
9		
<b>9 (5)</b> 12:18;24:17;28:24; 93:23;94:4 <b>9.3 (1)</b> 9:19 <b>9.4 (1)</b> 9:15 <b>90 (2)</b> 24:2;48:24		